CMPT 373 Software Development Methods

Object Oriented Programming & Inheritance

Nick Sumner wsumner@sfu.ca

• Superficial reasons are straightforward:

- Superficial reasons are straightforward:
 - Much of the world's code is written in OO languages
 - Employers consider OOP a core introductory skill
 - Chances are you will need to understand it to get a job

- Superficial reasons are straightforward:
 - Much of the world's code is written in OO languages
 - Employers consider OOP a core introductory skill
 - Chances are you will need to understand it to get a job
- But there are detractors
 - "OOP is inefficient"
 - "OOP makes it hard to share data easily"

- Superficial reasons are straightforward:
 - Much of the world's code is written in OO languages
 - Employers consider OOP a core introductory skill
 - Chances are you will need to understand it to get a job
- But there are detractors
 - "OOP is inefficient"
 - "OOP makes it hard to share data easily"
- Applied well and thoughtfully, it helps solve real problems
 - Like any tool, if you apply it poorly, it won't work well
 - If you apply it universally or dogmatically, you will miss out on better tools
 - You need to know how to use a tool to get value out of it

- Superficial reasons are straightforward:
 - Much of the world's code is written in OO languages
 - Employers consider OOP a core introductory skill
 - Chances are you will need to understand it to get a job
- But there are detractors
 - "OOP is inefficient"
 - "OOP makes it hard to share data easily"
- Applied well and thoughtfully, it helps solve real problems
 - Like any tool, if you apply it poorly, it won't work well
 - If you apply it universally or dogmatically, you will miss out on better tools
 - You need to know how to use a tool to get value out of it

• OOP will not *solve* your design for you, but it can be an effective tool

- I will assume you have basic, introductory, OOP experience
 - Most schools teach this in year 1 (ours does a little & is aiming to get better)

- I will assume you have basic, introductory, OOP experience
 - Most schools teach this in year 1 (ours does a little & is aiming to get better)
 - Most employers will expect you to have seen it from year 1

- I will assume you have basic, introductory, OOP experience
 - Most schools teach this in year 1 (ours does a little & is aiming to get better)
 - Most employers will expect you to have seen it from year 1
 - You will be competing on the job market with students doing it from year 1

- I will assume you have basic, introductory, OOP experience
 - Most schools teach this in year 1 (ours does a little & is aiming to get better)
 - Most employers will expect you to have seen it from year 1
 - You will be competing on the job market with students doing it from year 1
- But many schools teach it very badly
 - OOP textbooks were notoriously bad in the early 2000s
 - Many were written by people who did not know what they were doing
 - Many faculty did not learn it well themselves

- I will assume you have basic, introductory, OOP experience
 - Most schools teach this in year 1 (ours does a little & is aiming to get better)
 - Most employers will expect you to have seen it from year 1
 - You will be competing on the job market with students doing it from year 1
- But many schools teach it very badly
 - OOP textbooks were notoriously bad in the early 2000s
 - Many were written by people who did not know what they were doing
 - Many faculty did not learn it well themselves
 - This is one of the reasons people complain about OOP

- I will assume you have basic, introductory, OOP experience
 - Most schools teach this in year 1 (ours does a little & is aiming to get better)
 - Most employers will expect you to have seen it from year 1
 - You will be competing on the job market with students doing it from year 1
- But many schools teach it very badly
 - OOP textbooks were notoriously bad in the early 2000s
 - Many were written by people who did not know what they were doing
 - Many faculty did not learn it well themselves
 - This is one of the reasons people complain about OOP
- Our goal with OOP is to make you better than that

- I will assume you have basic, introductory, OOP experience
 - Most schools teach this in year 1 (ours does a little & is aiming to get better)
 - Most employers will expect you to have seen it from year 1
 - You will be competing on the job market with students doing it from year 1
- But many schools teach it very badly
 - OOP textbooks were notoriously bad in the early 2000s
 - Many were written by people who did not know what they were doing
 - Many faculty did not learn it well themselves
 - This is one of the reasons people complain about OOP
- Our goal with OOP is to make you better than that
 - Regardless of the language you work in, I recommend:
 Effective Java, C++ Coding Standards, Practical Object-Oriented Design in Ruby

This is

- I will assume you have basic, introductory, OOP experience
 - Most schools teach this in year 1 (ours does a little & is aiming to get better)
 - Most employers will expect you to have seen it from year 1
 - You will be competing on the job market with students doing it from year 1
- But many schools teach it very badly
 - OOP textbooks were notoriously bad in the early 2000s
 - Many were written by people who did not know what they were doing
 - Many faculty did not learn it well themselves
 - Treat these as guides rather than laws.
- Our goal Dogma has no value. Understand the cost/benefit.
 - Regardless of the language you work in, I recommend:
 Effective Java, C++ Coding Standards, Practical Object-Oriented Design in Ruby



• This is a matter of more debate than expected

- This is a matter of more debate than expected
- Classically:
 - A combination of data and code
 - Abstraction, Encapsulation, Inheritance, Polymorphism

- This is a matter of more debate than expected
- Classically:
 - A combination of data and code
 - Abstraction, Encapsulation, Inheritance, Polymorphism
 - "An object is a value exporting a procedural interface to data or behavior["Cook 2009]

- This is a matter of more debate than expected
- Classically:
 - A combination of data and code
 - Abstraction, Encapsulation, Inheritance, Polymorphism
 - "An object is a value exporting a procedural interface to data or behavion[cook 2009]
 - Objects are "sites of higher level behaviors more appropriate for use as dynamic components" [Kay 1993]

- This is a matter of more debate than expected
- Classically:
 - A combination of data and code
 - Abstraction, Encapsulation, Inheritance, Polymorphism
 - "An object is a value exporting a procedural interface to data or behavion[cook 2009]
 - Objects are "sites of higher level behaviors more appropriate for use as dynamic components" [Kay 1993]
- Intuitively
 - Objects provide interchangeable services supporting higher level goals [Aldrich 2013]

- This is a matter of more debate than expected
- Classically:
 - A combination of data and code
 - Abstraction, Encapsulation, Inheritance, Polymorphism
 - "An object is a value exporting a procedural interface to data or behavion[cook 2009]
 - Objects are "sites of higher level behaviors more appropriate for use as dynamic components" [Kay 1993]
- Intuitively
 - Objects provide interchangeable services supporting higher level goals [Aldrich 2013]
 - You can think of OOP as like writing a library for a task

- This is a matter of more debate than expected
- Classically:
 - A combination of data and code
 - Abstraction, Encapsulation, Inheritance, Polymorphism
 - "An object is a value exporting a procedural interface to data or behavion[cook 2009]
 - Objects are "sites of higher level behaviors more appropriate for use as dynamic components" [Kay 1993]

• Intuitively

- Objects provide interchangeable services supporting higher level goals [Aldrich 2013]
- You can think of OOP as like writing a library for a task
- OOP is about decoupling implementation from use

- This is a matter of more debate than expected
- Classically:
 - A combination of data and code
 - Abstraction, Encapsulation, Inheritance, Polymorphism
 - "An object is a value exporting a procedural interface to data or behavior[cook 2009]
 - Object Consider out maze prototyping example. dynam Have we already seen *one* way this can be useful?

for use as

- Intuitively
 - Objects provide interchangeable services supporting higher level goals [Aldrich 2013]
 - You can think of OOP as like writing a library for a task
 - OOP is about decoupling implementation from use

- Classes describe the services of objects
 - Objects are instances of classes

class Student : public Person {
 public:
 enum class Degree {
 UNDERGRAD, MASTERS, PHD,
 };

Student(Degree degree);

void studyOneHour();

```
private:
    int hoursStudied;
    Degree degree;
};
```

- Classes describe the services of objects
 - Objects are instances of classes
 - Fields define the state an object has

class Student : public Person {
 public:
 enum class Degree {
 UNDERGRAD, MASTERS, PHD,
 };

Student(Degree degree);

void studyOneHour();

```
private:
    int hoursStudied;
    Degree degree;
};
```

- Classes describe the services of objects
 - Objects are instances of classes
 - Fields define the state an object has
 - Methods define the behaviors

class Student : public Person {
 public:
 enum class Degree {
 UNDERGRAD, MASTERS, PHD,
 };

Student(Degree degree);

void studyOneHour();

```
private:
    int hoursStudied;
    Degree degree;
};
```

- Classes describe the services of objects
 - Objects are instances of classes
 - Fields define the state an object has
 - Methods define the behaviors
 - Visibility modifiers enable deciding what is published to the outside

```
class Student : public Person {
public:
    enum class Degree {
        UNDERGRAD, MASTERS, PHD,
    };
```

Student(Degree degree);

void studyOneHour();

void sleep() override;

private: int hoursStudied; Degree degree; };

- Classes describe the services of objects
 - Objects are instances of classes
 - Fields define the state an object has
 - Methods define the behaviors
 - Visibility modifiers enable deciding what is published to the outside
 - Nested constructs enable the use of scoped enums, classes, aliases, ...

```
class Student : public Person {
public:
  enum class Degree {
    UNDERGRAD, MASTERS, PHD,
 };
  Student(Degree degree);
  void studyOneHour();
  void sleep() override;
private:
  int hoursStudied;
 Degree degree;
};
```

- Classes describe the services of objects
 - Objects are instances of classes
 - Fields define the state an object has
 - Methods define the behaviors
 - Visibility modifiers enable deciding what is published to the outside
 - Nested constructs enable the use of scoped enums, classes, aliases, ...
 - Virtual methods & inheritance enable derived classes with the attributes of base classes

class Student : public Person {
 public:
 enum class Degree {
 UNDERGRAD, MASTERS, PHD,
 };
 Student(Degree degree);
 void studyOneHour();

```
private:
    int hoursStudied;
    Degree degree;
};
```



- Nested constructs enable the use of scoped enums, classes, aliases, ...
- Virtual methods & inheritance enable derived classes with the attributes of base classes

```
class Student : public Person
public:
  enum class Degree {
    UNDERGRAD, MASTERS, PHD,
  };
  Student(Degree degree);
  void studyOneHour();
 void sleep() override;
private:
  int hoursStudied;
 Degree degree;
};
```



 Virtual methods & inheritance enable derived classes with the attributes of base classes

```
class Student : public Person
public:
  enum class Degree {
    UNDERGRAD, MASTERS, PHD,
  };
  Student(Degree degree);
  void studyOneHour();
 void sleep() override;
private:
  int hoursStudied;
 Degree degree;
};
```

• Several guidelines & rules of thumb exist

- Several guidelines & rules of thumb exist
 - Key: Every guideline has a reason.
 Every guideline has exceptions.
 Understand the reason to perform cost-benefit analysis.

- Several guidelines & rules of thumb exist
 - Key: Every guideline has a reason.
 Every guideline has exceptions.
 Understand the reason to perform cost-benefit analysis.
- Most common examples:
 - SOLID

- Several guidelines & rules of thumb exist
 - Key: Every guideline has a reason.
 Every guideline has exceptions.
 Understand the reason to perform cost-benefit analysis.
- Most common examples:
 - SOLID
 - Single Responsibility
 - Open/Closed (more later)
 - Liskov Substitutability
 - Interface Segregation
 - Dependency inversion

- Several guidelines & rules of thumb exist
 - Key: Every guideline has a reason.
 Every guideline has exceptions.
 Understand the reason to perform cost-benefit analysis.

• Most common examples:

- SOLID
 - Single Responsibility
 - **O**pen/Closed (more later)
 - Liskov Substitutability
 - Interface Segregation
 - Dependency inversion
- DRY (Don't Repeat Yourself)

- Several guidelines & rules of thumb exist
 - Key: Every guideline has a reason.
 Every guideline has exceptions.
 Understand the reason to perform cost-benefit analysis.

• Most common examples:

- SOLID
 - Single Responsibility
 - **O**pen/Closed (more later)
 - Liskov Substitutability
 - Interface Segregation
 - Dependency inversion
- DRY (Don't Repeat Yourself)
- These in particular are abused via dogma and misapplication
- Several guidelines & rules of thumb exist
 - Key: Every guideline has a reason.
 Every guideline has exceptions.
 Understand the reason to perform cost-benefit analysis

 Most common ex All of these relate to Ousterhout's complexity criteria, but blind application can be worse.

- Single Responsibility
 - Open/Closed (more later)
 - Liskov Substitutability
 - Interface Segregation
 - Dependency inversion
- DRY (Don't Repeat Yourself)
- These in particular are abused via dogma and misapplication

• Be careful about compiler provided methods

```
class Thing {
   // Thing()
}
```

```
class Thing {
   // Thing()
   // Thing(const Thing&);
   // Thing(Thing&&);
   // [virtual] ~Thing();
   // [virtual] ~Thing();
   // Thing& operator=(const Thing&);
   // Thing& operator=(Thing&&);
};
```

- Be careful about compiler provided methods
- Minimize mutability

```
class RGBColor {
public:
  RGBColor(const Intensity r,
           const Intensity q,
           const Intensity b);
  Hue convertToHue() const;
private:
  const Intensity red;
  const Intensity green;
  const Intensity blue;
};
```

- Be careful about compiler provided methods
- Minimize mutability

```
class RGBColor {
public:
  RGBColor(const Intensity r,
           const Intensity q,
           const Intensity b);
  Hue convertToHue() const;
private:
  const Intensity red;
  const Intensity green;
  const Intensity blue;
};
```

- Be careful about compiler provided methods
- Minimize mutability



- Be careful about compiler provided methods
- Minimize mutability

```
class RGBColor {
public:
  RGBColor(const Intensity r,
           const Intensity q,
           const Intensity b);
  Hue convertToHue() const;
private:
  const Intensity red;
  const Intensity green;
  const Intensity blue;
};
```

- Be careful about compiler provided methods
- Minimize mutability
- Minimize visibility

```
template<typename T>
class Set {
public:
    Set();
    void insert(const T& toAdd);
    bool contains(const T& toFind) const;
private:
    std::vector<T> elements;
};
```

- Be careful about compiler provided methods
- Minimize mutability
- Minimize visibility

```
template<typename T>
class Set {
public:
  Set();
  void insert(const T& toAdd);
  bool contains (const T& toFind) const;
private:
  std::vector<T> elements;
};
```

- Be careful about compiler provided methods
- Minimize mutability
- Minimize visibility

```
template<typename T>
class Set {
public:
   Set();
   void insert(const T& toAdd);
   bool contains(const T& toFind) const;
private:
   std::vector<T> elements;
};
```

- Be careful about compiler provided methods
- Minimize mutability
- Minimize visibility

struct Point	{
<pre>int x;</pre>	
<pre>int y;</pre>	
};	

```
template<typename T>
class Set {
public:
  Set();
  void insert(const T& toAdd);
  bool contains(const T& toFind) const;
private:
  std::vector<T> elements;
};
```

- Be careful about compiler provided methods
- Minimize mutability
- Minimize visibility
- Refer to objects by interfaces when applicable

- Be careful about compiler provided methods
- Minimize mutability
- Minimize visibility
- Refer to objects by interfaces when applicable



- Be careful about compiler provided methods
- Minimize mutability
- Minimize visibility

• Refer to objects by interfaces when applicable





- Be careful about compiler provided methods
- Minimize mutability
- Minimize visibility
- Refer to objects by interfaces when applicable
- Don't give away your internals

- Be careful about compiler provided methods
- Minimize mutability
- Minimize visibility
- Refer to objects by interfaces when app
- Don't give away your internals

```
class IntBuffer {
public:
    ...
    std::vector& getContents();
    ...
private:
    std::vector<int> integers;
};
```

- Prefer dependency injection to hardwiring resources [Block 2001,2018]
 - Objects that allocate their own state are hard to: prove correct, extend, configure, test, ...

- Prefer dependency injection to hardwiring resources [Block 2001,2018]
 - Objects that allocate their own state are hard to: prove correct, extend, configure, test, ...

```
class CrosswordGenerator {
   CrosswordGenerator()
      : clues{std::make_unique<Clues>}
      { }
   private:
   std::unique_ptr<Clues> clues;
};
```

- Prefer dependency injection to hardwiring resources [Block 2001,2018]
 - Objects that allocate their own state are hard to: prove correct, extend, configure, test, ...

```
class CrosswordGenerator {
   CrosswordGenerator()
      : clues{std::make_unique<Clues>}
      { }
   private:
   std::unique ptr<Clues> clues;
```

};

```
class CrosswordGenerator {
   CrosswordGenerator(... clues)
      : clues{std::move(clues)}
      { }
      f }

private:
   std::unique_ptr<Clues> clues;
};
```

- Prefer dependency injection to hardwiring resources [Block 2001,2018]
 - Objects that allocate their own state are hard to: prove correct, extend, configure, test, ...

<pre>class CrosswordGenerator { CrosswordGenerator() : clues{std::make_unique<clues>} { }</clues></pre>	<pre>class CrosswordGenerator { CrosswordGenerator(clues) : clues{std::move(clues)} { } { } private: std::unique_ptr<clues> clues; };</clues></pre>
private:	
<pre>std::unique_ptr< auto englishClues = .</pre>	· · ·
<pre>}; CrosswordGenerator cg</pre>	g{ <mark>englishClues</mark> };

auto frenchClues = ...
CrosswordGenerator cg{frenchClues};

- Prefer dependency injection to hardwiring resources [Block 2001,2018]
 - Objects that allocate their own state are hard to: prove correct, extend, configure, test, ...

С	<pre>ss CrosswordGenerator { rosswordGenerator() : clues{std::make_unique<clues>} { } vate:</clues></pre>	<pre>class CrosswordGenerator { CrosswordGenerator(clues) : clues{std::move(clues)} { } f } private: std::unique_ptr<clues> clues; };</clues></pre>	
-			
<pre>std::unique_ptr< auto englishClues =</pre>			
};	Concreting the organizer of chicate	{englishClues};	
	δαργήτηση της εκραποίη ότι οπίζετε		
	Separating the <i>creation</i> of objects		
		auto frenchClues =	
	from the wiring of objects creates a more flexible system	<pre>auto frenchClues = CrosswordGenerator cg{frenchClues};</pre>	

- Some are specific to "native code": Use the PIMPL idiom judiciously [Sutter & Alexandrescu 2005]
 - Prevents unnecessary recompilation
 - Allows the layout to change without breaking ABI in long lived projects

- Some are specific to "native code": Use the PIMPL idiom judiciously [Sutter & Alexandrescu 2005]
 - Prevents unnecessary recompilation
 - Allows the layout to change without breaking ABI in long lived projects

Thing.h

```
class Thing {
public:
   Thing();
   void doStuff() const;

private:
   class ThingImpl;
   std::unique_ptr<ThingImpl> impl;
};
```

- Some are specific to "native code": Use the PIMPL idiom judiciously [Sutter & Alexandrescu 2005]
 - Prevents unnecessary recompilation
 - Allows the layout to change without breaking ABI in long lived projects

Thing.h

class Thing {

```
Thing.cpp
```

```
public:
   Thing();
   toid doStuff() const;
   void doStuff() const;
   private:
    class ThingImpl;
   std::unique_ptr<ThingImpl> impl;
};
Thing::Thing()

: impl{std::make_unique<ThingImpl>()}

void
Thing::doStuff() const {
   impl->doStuff();
 }
```

- Modern thinking notes that OOP defines services
 - Inheritance & runtime polymorphism drive this

- Modern thinking notes that OOP defines services
 - Inheritance & runtime polymorphism drive this
 - Base classes define an interface



- Modern thinking notes that OOP defines services
 - Inheritance & runtime polymorphism drive this
 - Base classes define an interface
 - Derived classes provide implementations



- Modern thinking notes that OOP defines services
 - Inheritance & runtime polymorphism drive this
 - Base classes define an interface
 - Derived classes provide implementations
 - Implementations are interchangeable even at runtime (like remote services)





– Implementations are interchangeable even at runtime (like remote services)



- Modern thinking notes that OOP defines services
 - Inheritance & runtime polymorphism drive this
 - Base classes define an interface
 - Derived classes provide implementations
 - Implementations are interchangeable even at runtime (like remote services)



Note: We will go from absurd to practical





• Suppose we want to model a person who owns a car...



Why?

• Suppose we want to model a person who owns a car...



How could you make it better?


• Suppose we want to model a person who owns a car...



• Suppose we want to model a person who owns a car...





• Suppose we want to model a person who owns a car...



• Suppose we want to model a person who owns a car...



This absurd example captures common, subtle mistakes

• Do the LSP and has-a relationships unambiguously tell us how to apply inheritance?

• Do the LSP and has-a relationships unambiguously tell us how to apply inheritance?

Frogs can be male or female

• Do the LSP and has-a relationships unambiguously tell us how to apply inheritance?

Frogs can be male or female



• Do the LSP and has-a relationships unambiguously tell us how to apply inheritance?

Frogs can be male or female





- Do the LSP and has-a relationships unambiguously tell us how to apply inheritance?
- Every *is-a* relationship could instead be *has-a*!

- Do the LSP and has-a relationships unambiguously tell us how to apply inheritance?
- Every *is-a* relationship could instead be *has-a*!
 - These often capture finer grained relationships
 - Break individual responsibilities into components

- Do the LSP and has-a relationships unambiguously tell us how to apply inheritance?
- Every *is-a* relationship could instead be *has-a*!
 - These often capture finer grained relationships
 - Break individual responsibilities into components



- Do the LSP and has-a relationships unambiguously tell us how to apply inheritance?
- Every *is-a* relationship could instead be *has-a*!
 - These often capture finer grained relationships
 - Break individual responsibilities into components



- Do the LSP and has-a relationships unambiguously tell us how to apply inheritance?
- Every *is-a* relationship could instead be *has-a*!
 - These often capture finer grained relationships
 - Break individual responsibilities into components



- Do the LSP and has-a relationships unambiguously tell us how to apply inheritance?
- Every *is-a* relationship could instead be *has-a*!
 - These often capture finer grained relationships
 - Break individual responsibilities into components



- Do the LSP and has-a relationships unambiguously tell us how to apply inheritance?
- Every *is-a* relationship could instead be *has-a*!
 - These often capture finer grained relationships
 - Break individual responsibilities into components





- Do the LSP and has-a relationships unambiguously tell us how to apply inheritance?
- Every *is-a* relationship could instead be *has-a*!
 - These often capture finer grained relationships
 - Break individual responsibilities into components



- Do the LSP and has-a relationships unambiguously tell us how to apply inheritance?
- Every *is-a* relationship could instead be *has-a*!
 - These often capture finer grained relationships
 - Break individual responsibilities into components



- Do the LSP and has-a relationships unambiguously tell us how to apply inheritance?
- Every *is-a* relationship could instead be *has-a*!
 - These often capture finer grained relationships
 - Break individual responsibilities into components



- Do the LSP and has-a relationships unambiguously tell us how to apply inheritance?
- Every *is-a* relationship could instead be *has-a*!
 - These often capture finer grained relationships
 - Break individual responsibilities into components



• Whenever is-a applies, you must still make a decision

- Guide 1: Might the behavior need to change?
 - Coarse inheritance often *precludes* it

- Guide 1: Might the behavior need to change?
 - Coarse inheritance often precludes it
 - Composition often simplifies it

- Guide 1: Might the behavior need to change?
 - Coarse inheritance often precludes it
 - Composition often simplifies it
 - Use coarse grained composition if the relationship is dynamic

- Guide 1: Might the behavior need to change?
 - Coarse inheritance often precludes it
 - Composition often simplifies it
 - Use coarse grained composition if the relationship is dynamic





- Guide 1: Might the behavior need to change?
 - Coarse inheritance often precludes it
 - Composition often simplifies it
 - Use coarse grained composition if the relationship is dynamic





Frogs and other animals can spontaneously change sex!





- Guide 1: Might the behavior need to change?
 - Coarse inheritance often precludes it
 - Composition often simplifies it
 - Use coarse grained composition if the relationship is dynamic





Frogs and other animals can spontaneously change sex!

Knowing in advance is hard. Composition is flexible & adapts to requirements.

- Guide 1: Might the behavior need to change?
 - Coarse inheritance often precludes it
 - Composition often simplifies it
 - Use coarse grained composition if the relationship is dynamic
- Guide 2: Might the type be used polymorphically?
 - Composition does not intrinsically aid it

- Guide 1: Might the behavior need to change?
 - Coarse inheritance often precludes it
 - Composition often simplifies it
 - Use coarse grained composition if the relationship is dynamic
- Guide 2: Might the type be used polymorphically?
 - Composition does not intrinsically aid it
 - Inheritance enables it

- Guide 1: Might the behavior need to change?
 - Coarse inheritance often precludes it
 - Composition often simplifies it
 - Use coarse grained composition if the relationship is dynamic
- Guide 2: Might the type be used polymorphically?
 - Composition does not intrinsically aid it
 - Inheritance enables it
 - Consider inheritance when a reference to a general type may point to a more specific one.

- Guide 1: Might the behavior need to change?
 - Coarse inheritance often predudes it
 - d std::vector<People*> folks;
 - Use coarse grained composition if the relationship is 3) Professor
 4) Student
- Guide 2: Might the type be used polymorphically?
 - Composition does not intrinsically aid it
 - Inheritance enables it
 - Consider inheritance when a reference to a general type may point to a more specific one.

0) Student

1) Student

2) Lecturer

- Guide 1: Might the behavior need to change?
 - Coarse inheritance often predudes it
 - d std::vector<People*> folks;
 - Use coarse grained composition if the relationship is 3) Professor
 4) Student
- Guide 2: Might the type be used polymorphically?
 - Composition does not intrinsically aid it
 - Inheritance enables it
 - Consider inheritance when a reference to a general type may point to a more specific <u>one</u>.

0) Student

1) Student

2) Lecturer

We will revisit this in the context of algebraic data types.

- I need
 - Many different types of animals.

This should sound familiar...

- I need
 - Many different types of animals.
 - Each should be able to move () and speak().

- I need
 - Many different types of animals.
 - Each should be able to move () and speak().
 - An Animal& should be able to refer to any of them.

- I need
 - Many different types of animals.
 - Each should be able to move () and speak().
 - An Animal& should be able to refer to any of them.

What does my design look like based on the rules?

- I need
 - Many different types of animals.
 - Each should be able to move () and speak().
 - An **Animal** should be able to refer to any of them.



- I need
 - Many different types of animals.
 - Each should be able to move () and speak ().
 - An **Animal** should be able to refer to any of them.


- I need
 - Many different types of animals.
 - Each should be able to move () and speak ().
 - An **Animal** should be able to refer to any of them.



- I need
 - Many different types of animals.
 - Each should be able to move () and speak ().
 - An **Animal** should be able to refer to any of them.



- I need
 - Many different types of animals.
 - Each should be able to move () and speak ().
 - An **Animal** should be able to refer to any of them.



- I need
 - Many different types of animals.
 - Each should be able to move () and speak().
 - An Animal& should be able to refer to any of them.

Can we do better?

- I need
 - Many different types of animals.
 - Each should be able to move () and speak().
 - An Animal& should be able to refer to any of them.

Can we do better?

If someone on my team did this multiple times, I would fire them.

- I need
 - Many different types of animals.
 - Each should be able to move () and speak().
 - An Animal& should be able to refer to any of them.

Can we do better?

- I need
 - Many different types of animals.
 - Each should be able to move () and speak().
 - An Animal& should be able to refer to any of them.



- I need
 - Many different types of animals.
 - Each should be able to move () and speak ().
 - An Animal& should be able to refer to any of them.



- I need
 - Many different types of animals.
 - Each should be able to move () and speak ().
 - An Animal& should be able to refer to any of them.

Can we do better?



- I need
 - Many different types of animals.
 - Each should be able to move () and speak().
 - An Animal& should be able to refer to any of them.

Can we do better?



- I need
 - Many different types of animals.
 - Each should be able to move () and speak().
 - An **Animal** should be able to refer to any of them.

Can we do better?



- I need
 - Many different types of animals.
 - Each should be able to move () and speak().
 - An **Animal** should be able to refer to any of them.

Can we do better?



- I need
 - Many different types of animals.
 - Each should be able to move () and speak ().
 - An **Animal** should be able to refer to any of them.

Can we do better?



- I need
 - Many different types of animals.
 - Each should be able to move () and speak().
 - An **Animal** should be able to refer to any of them.

Can we do better?



- I need
 - Many different types of animals.
 - Each should be able to move () and speak().
 - An **Animal** should be able to refer to any of them.

Can we do better?



- I need
 - Many different types of animals.
 - Each should be able to move () and speak().
 - An **Animal** should be able to refer to any of them.

Can we do better?



- I need
 - Many different types of animals.
 - Each should be able to move () and speak ().
 - An Animal& should be able to refer to any of them.



• So let's try it out...(!)

- Avoids reimplementation of common behavior
 - e.g. Common aspects of Animal are just fields of Animal

- Avoids reimplementation of common behavior
 - e.g. Common aspects of Animal are just fields of Animal
- Inheritance contracts for fine grained policies

- Avoids reimplementation of common behavior
 - e.g. Common aspects of Animal are just fields of Animal
- Inheritance contracts for fine grained policies
- Enables dynamic selection & configuration of which policies are desired
 - e.g. A Cat may start out Stationary, then Run, then be Stationary



- Avoids reimplementation of common behavior
 - e.g. Common aspects of Animal are just fields of Animal
- Inheritance contracts for fine grained policies
- Enables dynamic selection & configuration of which policies are desired
 - e.g. A Cat may start out Stationary, then Run, then be Stationary



- Avoids reimplementation of common behavior
 - e.g. Common aspects of Animal are just fields of Animal
- Inheritance contracts for fine grained policies
- Enables dynamic selection & configuration of which policies are desired
 - e.g. A Cat may start out Stationary, then Run, then be Stationary
- Directly identifies & addresses risks of change in class design

- Avoids reimplementation of common behavior
 - e.g. Common aspects of Animal are just fields of Animal
- Inheritance contracts for fine grained policies
- Enables dynamic selection & configuration of which policies are desired
 - e.g. A Cat may start out Stationary, then Run, then be Stationary
- Directly identifies & addresses risks of change in class design
- We will see shortly how this interacts with other forms of polymorphism

- Favor composition over inheritance
- Do not inherit to reuse. Inherit to be reused.

For some reason, textbooks & teachers often get these wrong

- Favor composition over inheritance
- Do not inherit to reuse. Inherit to be reused.

- Favor composition over inheritance
- Do not inherit to reuse. Inherit to be reused.



- Favor composition over inheritance
- Do not inherit to reuse. Inherit to be reused.



- Favor composition over inheritance
- Do not inherit to reuse. Inherit to be reused.



- Favor composition over inheritance
- Do not inherit to reuse. Inherit to be reused.



• Use inheritance for *semantic is-a* relationships

- Use inheritance for *semantic is-a* relationships
 - Liskov substitutability

- Use inheritance for *semantic is-a* relationships
 - Liskov substitutability
 - If ϕ is true for the base, then ϕ is true the derived

Derived is substitutable for Base





- Use inheritance for *semantic is-a* relationships
 - Liskov substitutability
 - If ϕ is true for the base, then ϕ is true the derived
 - Arguments in the subtype may be more general



- Use inheritance for *semantic is-a* relationships
 - Liskov substitutability
 - If ϕ is true for the base, then ϕ is true the derived
 - Arguments in the subtype may be more general
 - Return values in the subtype may be more constrained



- Use inheritance for *semantic is-a* relationships
 - Liskov substitutability
 - If ϕ is true for the base, then ϕ is true the derived
 - Arguments in the subtype may be more general
 - Return values in the subtype may be more constrained
 - If φ is true for a sequence of operations on the base, then φ is true for a sequence of operations on the derived
- Use inheritance for *semantic is-a* relationships
 - Liskov substitutability
 - If ϕ is true for the base, then ϕ is true the derived
 - Arguments in the subtype may be more general
 - Return values in the subtype may be more constrained
 - If φ is true for a sequence of operations on the base, then φ is true for a sequence of operations on the derived
 - Semantic substitutability is robust to drift



- Use inheritance for *semantic is-a* relationships
 - Liskov substitutability
 - If ϕ is true for the base, then ϕ is true the derived
 - Arguments in the subtype may be more general
 - Return values in the subtype may be more constrained
 - If φ is true for a sequence of operations on the base, then φ is true for a sequence of operations on the derived



• Inherit interfaces. Push implementation into the leaves.



- Inherit interfaces. Push implementation into the leaves.
 - Hierarchies delocalize code, yielding a yo-yo effect
 - Ambiguous overrides break encapsulation

- Inherit interfaces. Push implementation into the leaves.
 - Hierarchies delocalize code, yielding a yo-yo effect
 - Ambiguous overrides break encapsulation

Alternatively: Only leaves should be instantiable.

- Inherit interfaces. Push implementation into the leaves.
 - Hierarchies delocalize code, yielding a yo-yo effect
 - Ambiguous overrides break encapsulation

```
class Parent {
  virtual void foo() { bar(); }
  virtual void bar() {}
};
```

[Bloch, "Effective Java"]

- Inherit interfaces. Push implementation into the leaves.
 - Hierarchies delocalize code, yielding a yo-yo effect
 - Ambiguous overrides break encapsulation

```
class Parent {
  virtual void foo() { bar(); }
  virtual void bar() {}
};
class Child : public Parent {
  public:
    virtual void bar() { foo(); }
  };
  [Bloch. "Effective Java"]
```

- Inherit interfaces. Push implementation into the leaves.
 - Hierarchies delocalize code, yielding a yo-yo effect
 - Ambiguous overrides break encapsulation

```
class Parent {
  virtual void foo() { bar(); }
  virtual void bar() {}
}; class Child : public Parent {
  public:
    virtual void bar() { foo();
  }; [Bloch. "Effective Java"]
```

class Parent	{	
public:		
<pre>void foo()</pre>	{	<pre>barImpl(); }</pre>
<pre>void bar()</pre>	{	<pre>barImpl(); }</pre>
private:		
virtual voi	d	barImpl() = 0;
};		

- Inherit interfaces. Push implementation into the leaves.
 - Hierarchies delocalize code, yielding a yo-yo effect
 - Ambiguous overrides break encapsulation

class Pa	rent {		
Non Virtual Interfaces (NVI) help clarify & are common in C++.			
<pre>}; class Child : public Parent { public:</pre>			
Other patterns help even more			
};	[Bloch. "Effective Java"]		

class Parent	{	
public:		
<pre>void foo()</pre>	{	<pre>barImpl(); }</pre>
<pre>void bar()</pre>	{	<pre>barImpl(); }</pre>
private:		
virtual voi	d	barImpl() = 0;
};		

Design for inheritance.
 Choose customization points for runtime polymorphism.
 Prevent inheritance elsewhere. class Student final : F

```
class Student final : public Person {
public:
  enum class Degree {
    UNDERGRAD, MASTERS, PHD,
  };
  Student(Degree degree);
  void studyOneHour();
  void sleep() override;
private:
  int hoursStudied;
  Degree degree;
};
```



• Object oriented programming is a useful tool in your toolbox



- Object oriented programming is a useful tool in your toolbox
- It can be challenging to use well and should be deliberate

Summary

- Object oriented programming is a useful tool in your toolbox
- It can be challenging to use well and should be deliberate
- Inheritance, specifically, is powerful but often abused

Summary

- Object oriented programming is a useful tool in your toolbox
- It can be challenging to use well and should be deliberate
- Inheritance, specifically, is powerful but often abused
- Object orientation does not solve problems in modeling; that requires more effort, as we will see.