Query Fresh: Log Shipping on Steroids

Tianzheng Wang*

Ryan Johnson

Ippokratis Pandis



*Currently at Simon Fraser University



High availability through log shipping



Desirable properties





Synchronous log shipping: infeasible

• ERMIA* TPC-C, 2-socket, 16 physical cores, **10Gbe**



* K. Kim, T. Wang, R. Johnson, I. Pandis, ERMIA: Fast Memory-Optimized Database System for Heterogeneous Workloads, SIGMOD 2016 5

Synchronous log shipping: infeasible

• ERMIA* TPC-C, 2-socket, 16 physical cores, **10Gbe**



Network + I/O: major bottleneck

* K. Kim, T. Wang, R. Johnson, I. Pandis, ERMIA: Fast Memory-Optimized Database System for Heterogeneous Workloads, SIGMOD 2016 6

Reality: *asynchronous* log shipping → freshness gap



Safety and freshness traded for primary speed

Query Fresh

- Synchronous log shipping: leverage modern hardware
- Fast replay: append-only storage + indirection

Modern HW: synchronous log shipping possible

Non-volatile RAM (NVRAM)



NV-DIMM



3D XPoint



Memristor

Trend: network tracks memory speed



Network no longer the biggest bottleneck

* https://www.infinibandta.org/infiniband-roadmap/

Modern HW: synchronous log shipping possible

NVRAM → Fast persistence



NV-DIMM



3D XPoint



Memristor

High BW network → Fast transfer



InfiniBand, Converged Ethernet (56Gbps+) See paper for challenges & soln.

RDMA over NVRAM: fast synchronous log shipping

Desirable properties



Sync. Shipping != Fresh Reads



- Two durable copies
- Create actual tuples
- Memory allocation
- Many index operations (esp. secondary indexes)

Heavyweight record creation + serial replay = stale

Append-only storage: freshness possible

- Only keep one durable copy of data *the log*
- Redo-only logging, log record == data tuple
- LSN == position in the log, directly comparable



* K. Kim, T. Wang, R. Johnson, I. Pandis, ERMIA: Fast Memory-Optimized Database System for Heterogeneous Workloads, SIGMOD 2016

Query Fresh: Log == Database with RDMA + NVRAM





- Sync. commit: safe
 - Log tail in NVRAM
- Indexes: key \rightarrow RID
- Queries check both arrays
- Extract tuple location
- Little memory allocation
- No index operation (except for inserts)

Fast sync log shipping + append-only = safe & fresh

Query Fresh vs. Existing



Query Fresh balances all aspects

Evaluation

- 8 x 16-core (2-socket) nodes
 - 1 primary + up to 7 backups
 - Xeon E5-2650 v2, 64GB RAM, logs in tmpfs
 - Target NV-DIMM: DRAM as log buffer + CLWB/FLUSH emulation
- Network
 - Query Fresh: 56Gbps Infiniband FDR 4x + RDMA
 - Other schemes: 10Gbps Ethernet + TCP
- Benchmarks in ERMIA
 - Primary: Full TPC-C, low contention
 - Backups: StockLevel + OrderStaus

Query Fresh: maintains fast primary

- 16 workers on primary, 4 replay threads + 12 workers on backups
- Utilization = 75% (12 workers out of 16 total)



Query Fresh: fresh and high utilization

• Freshness: backup read view / primary read view * 100%



Conclusions

- Slow network + Fast OLTP = Stale and Unsafe
 - Redundant data copies (dual-copy architecture)
 - Often serial, heavy-weighted log replay

• Query Fresh = Fast network + NVRAM

+ Append-only storage with indirection



Fast, sync, safe

Thank you!

Find out more in our paper and code repo! https://github.com/ermia-db