Kernel Methods Greg Mori - CMPT 419/726

Bishop PRML Ch. 6

Kernel Trick

- In previous lectures on linear models, we would explicitly compute $\phi(x_i)$ for each datapoint
 - Run algorithm in feature space
- For some feature spaces, can compute dot product $\phi(\mathbf{x}_i)^T\phi(\mathbf{x}_j)$ efficiently
- Efficient method is computation of a kernel function $k(x_i, x_i) = \phi(x_i)^T \phi(x_i)$
- The kernel trick is to rewrite an algorithm to only have x enter in the form of dot products
- The menu:
 - Kernel trick examples
 - Kernel functions

Non-linear Mappings

- In the lectures on linear models for regression and classification, we looked at models with $w^T \phi(x)$
- The feature space $\phi(x)$ could be high-dimensional
- This was good because if data aren't separable in original input space (x), they may be in feature space $\phi(x)$
- We'd like to avoid computing high-dimensional $\phi(x)$
- We'd like to work with x which doesn't have a natural vector-space representation
 - · e.g. graphs, sets, strings

A Kernel Trick

- Let's look at the nearest-neighbour classification algorithm
- For input point x_i , find point x_j with smallest distance:

$$||x_i - x_j||^2 = (x_i - x_j)^T (x_i - x_j)$$

= $x_i^T x_i - 2x_i^T x_j + x_j^T x_j$

• If we used a non-linear feature space $\phi(\cdot)$:

$$||\phi(\mathbf{x}_{i}) - \phi(\mathbf{x}_{j})||^{2} = \phi(\mathbf{x}_{i})^{T}\phi(\mathbf{x}_{i}) - 2\phi(\mathbf{x}_{i})^{T}\phi(\mathbf{x}_{j}) + \phi(\mathbf{x}_{j})^{T}\phi(\mathbf{x}_{j})$$

= $k(\mathbf{x}_{i}, \mathbf{x}_{i}) - 2k(\mathbf{x}_{i}, \mathbf{x}_{j}) + k(\mathbf{x}_{j}, \mathbf{x}_{j})$

 So nearest-neighbour can be done in a high-dimensional feature space without actually moving to it

A Kernel Function



- Consider the kernel function $k(x,z) = (1 + x^T z)^2$
- With $x, z \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

$$k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) = (1 + x_1 z_1 + x_2 z_2)^2$$

$$= 1 + 2x_1 z_1 + 2x_2 z_2 + x_1^2 z_1^2 + 2x_1 z_1 x_2 z_2 + x_2^2 z_2^2$$

$$= (1, \sqrt{2}x_1, \sqrt{2}x_2, x_1^2, \sqrt{2}x_1 x_2, x_2^2)(1, \sqrt{2}z_1, \sqrt{2}z_2, z_1^2, \sqrt{2}z_1 z_2, z_2^2)^T$$

$$= \phi(\mathbf{x})^T \phi(z)$$

- · So this particular kernel function does correspond to a dot product in a feature space (is valid)
- Computing k(x,z) is faster than explicitly computing $\phi(x)^T\phi(z)$
 - In higher dimensions, larger exponent, much faster

Valid Kernels

- Given some arbitrary function $k(x_i, x_j)$, how do we know if it corresponds to a dot product in some space?
- Valid kernels: if k(·, ·) satisfies:
 - Symmetric; $k(x_i, x_i) = k(x_i, x_i)$
 - Positive definite; for any x_1, \ldots, x_N , the Gram matrix K must be positive semi-definite:

$$K = \begin{pmatrix} k(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_1) & k(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2) & \dots & k(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_N) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ k(\mathbf{x}_N, \mathbf{x}_1) & k(\mathbf{x}_N, \mathbf{x}_2) & \dots & k(\mathbf{x}_N, \mathbf{x}_N) \end{pmatrix}$$

• Positive semi-definite means $x^T K x \ge 0$ for all x

then $k(\cdot, \cdot)$ corresponds to a dot product in some space ϕ

• a.k.a. Mercer kernel, admissible kernel, reproducing kernel

Why Kernels?

- Why bother with kernels?
 - · Often easier to specify how similar two things are (dot product) than to construct explicit feature space ϕ .
 - There are high-dimensional (even infinite) spaces that have efficient-to-compute kernels
 - Separability
- · So you want to use kernels
 - Need to know when kernel function is valid, so we can apply the kernel trick

Examples of Kernels

- Some kernels:
 - Linear kernel $k(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2) = \mathbf{x}_1^T \mathbf{x}_2$
 - $\phi(x) = x$
 - Polynomial kernel $k(x_1, x_2) = (1 + x_1^T x_2)^d$
 - ullet Contains all polynomial terms up to degree d
 - Gaussian kernel $k(x_1, x_2) = \exp(-||x_1 x_2||^2/2\sigma^2)$
 - Infinite dimension feature space

Constructing Kernels

- Can build new valid kernels from existing valid ones:
 - $k(x_1,x_2) = ck_1(x_1,x_2), c > 0$
 - $k(x_1, x_2) = k_1(x_1, x_2) + k_2(x_1, x_2)$
 - $k(x_1,x_2) = k_1(x_1,x_2)k_2(x_1,x_2)$
 - $k(x_1, x_2) = \exp(k_1(x_1, x_2))$
- Table on p. 296 gives many such rules

Perceptron Classifier - Kernelized

- Recall the perceptron $y(x) = f(w^T \phi(x))$
- · The update rule for the perceptron is

$$\mathbf{w}^{(\tau+1)} = \mathbf{w}^{(\tau)} + \underbrace{\eta \phi(\mathbf{x}_n) t_n}_{if incorrect}$$

Hence,

$$\mathbf{w}^{(\tau+1)} = \mathbf{w}^{(0)} + \alpha_1 \mathbf{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_1) + \alpha_2 \mathbf{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_2) + \dots + \alpha_N \mathbf{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_N)$$

• The classifier is then

$$f(\mathbf{w}^T \phi(\mathbf{x})) = f(\mathbf{w}^{(0),T} \phi(\mathbf{x}) + \alpha_1 \phi(\mathbf{x}_1)^T \phi(\mathbf{x}) + \alpha_2 \phi(\mathbf{x}_2)^T \phi(\mathbf{x}) + \dots)$$

- Kernelized! (init $\mathbf{w}^{(0)} = \mathbf{0}$)
- Similar trick can be done for the update rule

More Kernels

- Stationary kernels are only a function of the difference between arguments: $k(x_1,x_2)=k(x_1-x_2)$
 - Translation invariant in input space: $k(x_1,x_2) = k(x_1+c,x_2+c)$
- Homogeneous kernels, a. k. a. radial basis functions only a function of magnitude of difference: $k(x_1, x_2) = k(||x_1 x_2||)$
- Set subsets $k(A_1,A_2)=2^{|A_1\cap A_2|},$ where |A| denotes number of elements in A
- Domain-specific: think hard about your problem, figure out what it means to be similar, define as $k(\cdot,\cdot)$, prove positive definite (Feynman algorithm)

Regression - Kernelized

- Regularized least squares regression can also be kernelized
- Kernelized solution is

$$y(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{k}(\mathbf{x})^T (\mathbf{K} + \lambda \mathbf{I}_N)^{-1} \mathbf{t}$$
 vs. $\phi(\mathbf{x}) (\mathbf{\Phi}^T \mathbf{\Phi} + \lambda \mathbf{I}_M)^{-1} \mathbf{\Phi}^T \mathbf{t}$

for original version

- N is number of datapoints (size of Gram matrix K)
- *M* is number of basis functions (size of matrix $\Phi^T \Phi$)
- Bad if N > M, but good otherwise

Conclusion

- Readings: Ch. 6.1-6.2 (pp. 291-297)
- Many algorithms can be re-written with only dot products of features
 - We've seen NN, perceptron, regression; also PCA, SVMs (later)
- Non-linear features, or domain-specific similarity measurements are useful
- Dot products of non-linear features, or similarity measurements, can be written as kernel functions
 - Validity by positive semi-definiteness of kernel function
- Can have algorithm work in non-linear feature space without actually mapping inputs to feature space
 - Advantageous when feature space is high-dimensional