▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ = 三 のへで

Linear Models for Classification Greg Mori - CMPT 419/726

Bishop PRML Ch. 4

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

Classification: Hand-written Digit Recognition

$$\mathbf{x}_i = \mathbf{4}$$

$$t_i = (0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$$

- Each input vector classified into one of K discrete classes
 - Denote classes by C_k
- Represent input image as a vector $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^{784}$.
- We have target vector $t_i \in \{0, 1\}^{10}$
- Given a training set {(x_1, t_1), ..., (x_N, t_N)}, learning problem is to construct a "good" function y(x) from these.

•
$$\boldsymbol{y}: \mathbb{R}^{784} \to \mathbb{R}^{10}$$

Generalized Linear Models

• Similar to previous chapter on linear models for regression, we will use a "linear" model for classification:

$$y(\boldsymbol{x}) = f(\boldsymbol{w}^T \boldsymbol{x} + w_0)$$

- This is called a generalized linear model
- $f(\cdot)$ is a fixed non-linear function

• e.g.

$$f(u) = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ if } u \ge 0\\ 0 \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- Decision boundary between classes will be linear function of *x*
- Can also apply non-linearity to x, as in $\phi_i(x)$ for regression

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Generalized Linear Models

• Similar to previous chapter on linear models for regression, we will use a "linear" model for classification:

$$y(\boldsymbol{x}) = f(\boldsymbol{w}^T \boldsymbol{x} + w_0)$$

- This is called a generalized linear model
- $f(\cdot)$ is a fixed non-linear function

• e.g.

$$f(u) = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ if } u \ge 0\\ 0 \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- Decision boundary between classes will be linear function of x
- Can also apply non-linearity to x, as in $\phi_i(x)$ for regression

Generalized Linear Models

• Similar to previous chapter on linear models for regression, we will use a "linear" model for classification:

$$y(\boldsymbol{x}) = f(\boldsymbol{w}^T \boldsymbol{x} + w_0)$$

- This is called a generalized linear model
- $f(\cdot)$ is a fixed non-linear function

• e.g.

$$f(u) = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ if } u \ge 0\\ 0 \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- Decision boundary between classes will be linear function of x
- Can also apply non-linearity to x, as in $\phi_i(x)$ for regression

Discriminative Models



Discriminant Functions

Generative Models

Discriminative Models



Discriminative Models



Discriminant Functions

Generative Models

Discriminative Models

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへで

Discriminant Functions with Two Classes



- Start with 2 class problem, $t_i \in \{0, 1\}$
- Simple linear discriminant

$$y(\boldsymbol{x}) = \boldsymbol{w}^T \boldsymbol{x} + w_0$$

apply threshold function to get classification

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

• Projection of x in w dir. is $\frac{w^T x}{||w||}$

Discriminant Functions

Discriminative Models

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

- A linear discriminant between two classes separates with a hyperplane
- How to use this for multiple classes?
- One-versus-the-rest method: build K 1 classifiers, between C_k and all others
- One-versus-one method: build K(K-1)/2 classifiers, between all pairs

Discriminative Models

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

- A linear discriminant between two classes separates with a hyperplane
- How to use this for multiple classes?
- One-versus-the-rest method: build *K* − 1 classifiers, between C_k and all others
- One-versus-one method: build K(K-1)/2 classifiers, between all pairs

Discriminative Models

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

- A linear discriminant between two classes separates with a hyperplane
- How to use this for multiple classes?
- One-versus-the-rest method: build *K* − 1 classifiers, between C_k and all others
- One-versus-one method: build K(K-1)/2 classifiers, between all pairs

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三■ - のへぐ



- A linear discriminant between two classes separates with a hyperplane
- How to use this for multiple classes?
- One-versus-the-rest method: build *K* − 1 classifiers, between C_k and all others
- One-versus-one method: build K(K-1)/2 classifiers, between all pairs



- A linear discriminant between two classes separates with a hyperplane
- How to use this for multiple classes?
- One-versus-the-rest method: build K 1 classifiers, between C_k and all others
- One-versus-one method: build K(K-1)/2 classifiers, between all pairs



- A linear discriminant between two classes separates with a hyperplane
- How to use this for multiple classes?
- One-versus-the-rest method: build K 1 classifiers, between C_k and all others
- One-versus-one method: build K(K-1)/2 classifiers, between all pairs



• A solution is to build *K* linear functions:

$$y_k(\boldsymbol{x}) = \boldsymbol{w}_k^T \boldsymbol{x} + w_{k0}$$

assign x to class $\arg \max_k y_k(x)$

Gives connected, convex decision regions

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \lambda \mathbf{x}_A + (1 - \lambda) \mathbf{x}_B$$

$$y_k(\hat{\mathbf{x}}) = \lambda y_k(\mathbf{x}_A) + (1 - \lambda) y_k(\mathbf{x}_B)$$

$$\Rightarrow y_k(\hat{\mathbf{x}}) > y_j(\hat{\mathbf{x}}), \forall j \neq k$$



• A solution is to build *K* linear functions:

$$y_k(\boldsymbol{x}) = \boldsymbol{w}_k^T \boldsymbol{x} + w_{k0}$$

assign x to class $\arg \max_k y_k(x)$

• Gives connected, convex decision regions

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{x}} = \lambda \boldsymbol{x}_A + (1 - \lambda) \boldsymbol{x}_B$$

$$y_k(\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}) = \lambda y_k(\boldsymbol{x}_A) + (1 - \lambda) y_k(\boldsymbol{x}_B)$$

$$\Rightarrow y_k(\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}) > y_j(\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}), \forall j \neq k$$

Least Squares for Classification

- How do we learn the decision boundaries (w_k, w_{k0}) ?
- One approach is to use least squares, similar to regression
- Find *W* to minimize squared error over all examples and all components of the label vector:

$$E(\mathbf{W}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{K} (y_k(\mathbf{x}_n) - t_{nk})^2$$

• Some algebra, we get a solution using the pseudo-inverse as in regression

Least Squares for Classification

- How do we learn the decision boundaries (w_k, w_{k0}) ?
- One approach is to use least squares, similar to regression
- Find *W* to minimize squared error over all examples and all components of the label vector:

$$E(\mathbf{W}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{K} (y_k(\mathbf{x}_n) - t_{nk})^2$$

• Some algebra, we get a solution using the pseudo-inverse as in regression

Least Squares for Classification

- How do we learn the decision boundaries (w_k, w_{k0}) ?
- One approach is to use least squares, similar to regression
- Find *W* to minimize squared error over all examples and all components of the label vector:

$$E(\mathbf{W}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{K} (y_k(\mathbf{x}_n) - t_{nk})^2$$

• Some algebra, we get a solution using the pseudo-inverse as in regression

・ロット (雪) (日) (日)

э

Problems with Least Squares



- Looks okay... least squares decision boundary
 - Similar to logistic regression decision boundary (more later)

Problems with Least Squares



- Looks okay... least squares decision boundary
 - Similar to logistic regression decision boundary (more later)

 Gets worse by adding easy points?!

(日)

Problems with Least Squares



- Looks okay... least squares decision boundary
 - Similar to logistic regression decision boundary (more later)

 Gets worse by adding easy points?!

(日)

• Why?

Problems with Least Squares



- Looks okay... least squares decision boundary
 - Similar to logistic regression decision boundary (more later)

- Gets worse by adding easy points?!
- Why?
 - If target value is 1, points far from boundary will have high value, say 10; this is a large error so the boundary is moved

More Least Squares Problems



Easily separated by hyperplanes, but not found using least squares!

・ロット (雪) (日) (日)

· We'll address these problems later with better models

Perceptrons

- Perceptrons is used to refer to many neural network structures (more next week)
- The classic type is a fixed non-linear transformation of input, one layer of adaptive weights, and a threshold:

$$y(\boldsymbol{x}) = f(\boldsymbol{w}^T \phi(\boldsymbol{x}))$$

- Developed by Rosenblatt in the 50s
- The main difference compared to the methods we've seen so far is the learning algorithm

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

Perceptron Learning

- Two class problem
- For ease of notation, we will use t = 1 for class C_1 and t = -1 for class C_2
- We saw that squared error was problematic
- Instead, we'd like to minimize the number of misclassified examples
 - An example is mis-classified if $w^T \phi(\mathbf{x}_n) t_n < 0$
 - Perceptron criterion:

$$E_P(\boldsymbol{w}) = -\sum_{n \in \mathcal{M}} \boldsymbol{w}^T \phi(\boldsymbol{x}_n) t_n$$

sum over mis-classified examples only

Perceptron Learning

- Two class problem
- For ease of notation, we will use t = 1 for class C_1 and t = -1 for class C_2
- We saw that squared error was problematic
- Instead, we'd like to minimize the number of misclassified examples
 - An example is mis-classified if $w^T \phi(x_n) t_n < 0$
 - Perceptron criterion:

$$E_P(\boldsymbol{w}) = -\sum_{n \in \mathcal{M}} \boldsymbol{w}^T \phi(\boldsymbol{x}_n) t_n$$

sum over mis-classified examples only

Perceptron Learning

- Two class problem
- For ease of notation, we will use t = 1 for class C_1 and t = -1 for class C_2
- We saw that squared error was problematic
- Instead, we'd like to minimize the number of misclassified examples
 - An example is mis-classified if $w^T \phi(\mathbf{x}_n) t_n < 0$
 - Perceptron criterion:

$$E_P(\boldsymbol{w}) = -\sum_{n \in \mathcal{M}} \boldsymbol{w}^T \phi(\boldsymbol{x}_n) t_n$$

sum over mis-classified examples only

Perceptron Learning

- Two class problem
- For ease of notation, we will use t = 1 for class C_1 and t = -1 for class C_2
- · We saw that squared error was problematic
- Instead, we'd like to minimize the number of misclassified examples
 - An example is mis-classified if $w^T \phi(\mathbf{x}_n) t_n < 0$
 - Perceptron criterion:

$$E_P(\boldsymbol{w}) = -\sum_{n \in \mathcal{M}} \boldsymbol{w}^T \phi(\boldsymbol{x}_n) t_n$$

sum over mis-classified examples only

・ロト・西ト・ヨト ・日・ うろの

Perceptron Learning Algorithm

• Minimize the error function using stochastic gradient descent (gradient descent per example):

$$\boldsymbol{w}^{(\tau+1)} = \boldsymbol{w}^{(\tau)} - \eta \nabla E_P(\boldsymbol{w})$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

Perceptron Learning Algorithm

• Minimize the error function using stochastic gradient descent (gradient descent per example):

$$\mathbf{w}^{(\tau+1)} = \mathbf{w}^{(\tau)} - \eta \nabla E_P(\mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{w}^{(\tau)} + \underbrace{\eta \phi(\mathbf{x}_n) t_n}_{if incorrect}$$

• Iterate over all training examples, only change *w* if the example is mis-classified

Perceptron Learning Algorithm

• Minimize the error function using stochastic gradient descent (gradient descent per example):

$$\mathbf{w}^{(\tau+1)} = \mathbf{w}^{(\tau)} - \eta \nabla E_P(\mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{w}^{(\tau)} + \underbrace{\eta \phi(\mathbf{x}_n) t_n}_{if incorrect}$$

- Iterate over all training examples, only change *w* if the example is mis-classified
- Guaranteed to converge if data are linearly separable
- Will not converge if not
- May take many iterations
- Sensitive to initialization

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへぐ

Perceptron Learning Illustration



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへぐ

Perceptron Learning Illustration



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ ─臣 ─のへで

Perceptron Learning Illustration



Perceptron Learning Illustration



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ ─臣 ─のへで
Perceptron Learning Illustration



Note there are many hyperplanes with 0 error

Support vector machines have a nice way of choosing one

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ● ●

Limitations of Perceptrons

- Perceptrons can only solve linearly separable problems in feature space
 - Same as the other models in this chapter
- Canonical example of non-separable problem is X-OR
 - Real datasets can look like this too





Discriminant Functions

Generative Models

Discriminative Models

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへで

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Probabilistic Generative Models

- Up to now we've looked at learning classification by choosing parameters to minimize an error function
- We'll now develop a probabilistic approach
- With 2 classes, C_1 and C_2 :

$$p(\mathcal{C}_1|m{x}) = rac{p(m{x}|\mathcal{C}_1)p(\mathcal{C}_1)}{p(m{x})}$$
 Bayes' Rule

$$p(\mathcal{C}_1|\mathbf{x}) = rac{p(\mathbf{x}|\mathcal{C}_1)p(\mathcal{C}_1)}{p(\mathbf{x},\mathcal{C}_1) + p(\mathbf{x},\mathcal{C}_2)}$$
 Sum rule

 $p(\mathcal{C}_1|\mathbf{x}) = \frac{p(\mathbf{x}|\mathcal{C}_1)p(\mathcal{C}_1)}{p(\mathbf{x}|\mathcal{C}_1)p(\mathcal{C}_1) + p(\mathbf{x}|\mathcal{C}_2)p(\mathcal{C}_2)}$ Product rule

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Probabilistic Generative Models

- Up to now we've looked at learning classification by choosing parameters to minimize an error function
- We'll now develop a probabilistic approach
- With 2 classes, C_1 and C_2 :

$$p(\mathcal{C}_1|m{x}) = rac{p(m{x}|\mathcal{C}_1)p(\mathcal{C}_1)}{p(m{x})}$$
 Bayes' Rule

$$p(\mathcal{C}_1|\mathbf{x}) = rac{p(\mathbf{x}|\mathcal{C}_1)p(\mathcal{C}_1)}{p(\mathbf{x},\mathcal{C}_1) + p(\mathbf{x},\mathcal{C}_2)}$$
 Sum rule

 $p(\mathcal{C}_1|\mathbf{x}) = \frac{p(\mathbf{x}|\mathcal{C}_1)p(\mathcal{C}_1)}{p(\mathbf{x}|\mathcal{C}_1)p(\mathcal{C}_1) + p(\mathbf{x}|\mathcal{C}_2)p(\mathcal{C}_2)} \text{ Product rule}$

・ロト・日本・日本・日本・日本

Probabilistic Generative Models

- Up to now we've looked at learning classification by choosing parameters to minimize an error function
- We'll now develop a probabilistic approach
- With 2 classes, C_1 and C_2 :

$$p(\mathcal{C}_1|m{x}) = rac{p(m{x}|\mathcal{C}_1)p(\mathcal{C}_1)}{p(m{x})}$$
 Bayes' Rule

$$p(\mathcal{C}_1|\mathbf{x}) = \frac{p(\mathbf{x}|\mathcal{C}_1)p(\mathcal{C}_1)}{p(\mathbf{x},\mathcal{C}_1) + p(\mathbf{x},\mathcal{C}_2)}$$
 Sum rule

 $p(\mathcal{C}_1|\mathbf{x}) = \frac{p(\mathbf{x}|\mathcal{C}_1)p(\mathcal{C}_1)}{p(\mathbf{x}|\mathcal{C}_1)p(\mathcal{C}_1) + p(\mathbf{x}|\mathcal{C}_2)p(\mathcal{C}_2)} \text{ Product rule}$

・ロト・日本・日本・日本・日本

Probabilistic Generative Models

- Up to now we've looked at learning classification by choosing parameters to minimize an error function
- We'll now develop a probabilistic approach
- With 2 classes, C_1 and C_2 :

$$p(\mathcal{C}_1|m{x}) = rac{p(m{x}|\mathcal{C}_1)p(\mathcal{C}_1)}{p(m{x})}$$
 Bayes' Rule

$$p(\mathcal{C}_1|\mathbf{x}) = \frac{p(\mathbf{x}|\mathcal{C}_1)p(\mathcal{C}_1)}{p(\mathbf{x},\mathcal{C}_1) + p(\mathbf{x},\mathcal{C}_2)}$$
 Sum rule

 $p(\mathcal{C}_1|\mathbf{x}) = rac{p(\mathbf{x}|\mathcal{C}_1)p(\mathcal{C}_1)}{p(\mathbf{x}|\mathcal{C}_1)p(\mathcal{C}_1) + p(\mathbf{x}|\mathcal{C}_2)p(\mathcal{C}_2)}$ Product rule

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Probabilistic Generative Models

- Up to now we've looked at learning classification by choosing parameters to minimize an error function
- We'll now develop a probabilistic approach
- With 2 classes, C_1 and C_2 :

$$p(\mathcal{C}_1|m{x}) = rac{p(m{x}|\mathcal{C}_1)p(\mathcal{C}_1)}{p(m{x})}$$
 Bayes' Rule

$$p(\mathcal{C}_1|\mathbf{x}) = \frac{p(\mathbf{x}|\mathcal{C}_1)p(\mathcal{C}_1)}{p(\mathbf{x},\mathcal{C}_1) + p(\mathbf{x},\mathcal{C}_2)} \text{ Sum rule}$$

$$p(\mathcal{C}_1|\mathbf{x}) = \frac{p(\mathbf{x}|\mathcal{C}_1)p(\mathcal{C}_1)}{p(\mathbf{x}|\mathcal{C}_1)p(\mathcal{C}_1) + p(\mathbf{x}|\mathcal{C}_2)p(\mathcal{C}_2)} \text{ Product rule}$$

Probabilistic Generative Models

- Up to now we've looked at learning classification by choosing parameters to minimize an error function
- We'll now develop a probabilistic approach
- With 2 classes, C_1 and C_2 :

$$p(\mathcal{C}_1|m{x}) = rac{p(m{x}|\mathcal{C}_1)p(\mathcal{C}_1)}{p(m{x})}$$
 Bayes' Rule

$$p(\mathcal{C}_1 | \mathbf{x}) = \frac{p(\mathbf{x} | \mathcal{C}_1) p(\mathcal{C}_1)}{p(\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{C}_1) + p(\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{C}_2)} \text{ Sum rule}$$

$$p(\mathcal{C}_1 | \mathbf{x}) = \frac{p(\mathbf{x} | \mathcal{C}_1) p(\mathcal{C}_1)}{p(\mathbf{x} | \mathcal{C}_1) p(\mathcal{C}_1) + p(\mathbf{x} | \mathcal{C}_2) p(\mathcal{C}_2)} \text{ Product rule}$$

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Probabilistic Generative Models - Example

- Let's say we observe x which is the current temperature
- Determine if we are in Vancouver (C_1) or Honolulu (C_2)
- Generative model:

$$p(\mathcal{C}_1|x) = \frac{p(x|\mathcal{C}_1)p(\mathcal{C}_1)}{p(x|\mathcal{C}_1)p(\mathcal{C}_1) + p(x|\mathcal{C}_2)p(\mathcal{C}_2)}$$

- $p(x|C_1)$ is distribution over typical temperatures in Vancouver
 - e.g. $p(x|C_1) = \mathcal{N}(x; 10, 5)$
- *p*(*x*|*C*₂) is distribution over typical temperatures in Honolulu
 - e.g. $p(x|C_2) = \mathcal{N}(x; 25, 5)$
- Class priors $p(C_1) = 0.1, p(C_2) = 0.9$

•
$$p(\mathcal{C}_1|x=15) = \frac{0.0484 \cdot 0.1}{0.0484 \cdot 0.1 + 0.0108 \cdot 0.9} \approx 0.33$$

Probabilistic Generative Models - Example

- Let's say we observe x which is the current temperature
- Determine if we are in Vancouver (C_1) or Honolulu (C_2)
- Generative model:

$$p(\mathcal{C}_1|x) = \frac{p(x|\mathcal{C}_1)p(\mathcal{C}_1)}{p(x|\mathcal{C}_1)p(\mathcal{C}_1) + p(x|\mathcal{C}_2)p(\mathcal{C}_2)}$$

- $p(x|C_1)$ is distribution over typical temperatures in Vancouver
 - e.g. $p(x|C_1) = \mathcal{N}(x; 10, 5)$
- $p(x|C_2)$ is distribution over typical temperatures in Honolulu
 - e.g. $p(x|C_2) = \mathcal{N}(x; 25, 5)$
- Class priors $p(C_1) = 0.1, p(C_2) = 0.9$

•
$$p(C_1|x=15) = \frac{0.0484 \cdot 0.1}{0.0484 \cdot 0.1 + 0.0108 \cdot 0.9} \approx 0.33$$

Generalized Linear Models

• We can write the classifier in another form

$$p(\mathcal{C}_{1}|\mathbf{x}) = \frac{p(\mathbf{x}|\mathcal{C}_{1})p(\mathcal{C}_{1})}{p(\mathbf{x}|\mathcal{C}_{1})p(\mathcal{C}_{1}) + p(\mathbf{x}|\mathcal{C}_{2})p(\mathcal{C}_{2})}$$
$$= \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-a)} \equiv \sigma(a)$$
where $a = \ln \frac{p(\mathbf{x}|\mathcal{C}_{1})p(\mathcal{C}_{1})}{p(\mathbf{x}|\mathcal{C}_{2})p(\mathcal{C}_{2})}$

- This looks like gratuitous math, but if *a* takes a simple form this is another generalized linear model which we have been studying
 - Of course, we will see how such a simple form $a = w^T x + w_0$ arises naturally

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Generalized Linear Models

• We can write the classifier in another form

$$p(\mathcal{C}_{1}|\mathbf{x}) = \frac{p(\mathbf{x}|\mathcal{C}_{1})p(\mathcal{C}_{1})}{p(\mathbf{x}|\mathcal{C}_{1})p(\mathcal{C}_{1}) + p(\mathbf{x}|\mathcal{C}_{2})p(\mathcal{C}_{2})}$$
$$= \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-a)} \equiv \sigma(a)$$
where $a = \ln \frac{p(\mathbf{x}|\mathcal{C}_{1})p(\mathcal{C}_{1})}{p(\mathbf{x}|\mathcal{C}_{2})p(\mathcal{C}_{2})}$

- This looks like gratuitous math, but if *a* takes a simple form this is another generalized linear model which we have been studying
 - Of course, we will see how such a simple form $a = w^T x + w_0$ arises naturally

Generalized Linear Models

• We can write the classifier in another form

$$p(\mathcal{C}_{1}|\mathbf{x}) = \frac{p(\mathbf{x}|\mathcal{C}_{1})p(\mathcal{C}_{1})}{p(\mathbf{x}|\mathcal{C}_{1})p(\mathcal{C}_{1}) + p(\mathbf{x}|\mathcal{C}_{2})p(\mathcal{C}_{2})}$$
$$= \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-a)} \equiv \sigma(a)$$
where $a = \ln \frac{p(\mathbf{x}|\mathcal{C}_{1})p(\mathcal{C}_{1})}{p(\mathbf{x}|\mathcal{C}_{2})p(\mathcal{C}_{2})}$

- This looks like gratuitous math, but if *a* takes a simple form this is another generalized linear model which we have been studying
 - Of course, we will see how such a simple form $a = w^T x + w_0$ arises naturally

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Logistic Sigmoid



- The function $\sigma(a) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-a)}$ is known as the logistic sigmoid
- It squashes the real axis down to [0,1]
- It is continuous and differentiable
- It avoids the problems encountered with the too correct least-squares error fitting (later)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ = 三 のへで

Multi-class Extension

• There is a generalization of the logistic sigmoid to *K* > 2 classes:

$$p(\mathcal{C}_k | \mathbf{x}) = \frac{p(\mathbf{x} | \mathcal{C}_k) p(\mathcal{C}_k)}{\sum_j p(\mathbf{x} | \mathcal{C}_j) p(\mathcal{C}_j)}$$
$$= \frac{\exp(a_k)}{\sum_j \exp(a_j)}$$
where $a_k = \ln p(\mathbf{x} | \mathcal{C}_k) p(\mathcal{C}_k)$

- a. k. a. softmax function
 - If some $a_k \gg a_j$, $p(\mathcal{C}_k | \mathbf{x})$ goes to 1

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQ@

Multi-class Extension

• There is a generalization of the logistic sigmoid to *K* > 2 classes:

$$p(\mathcal{C}_k | \mathbf{x}) = \frac{p(\mathbf{x} | \mathcal{C}_k) p(\mathcal{C}_k)}{\sum_j p(\mathbf{x} | \mathcal{C}_j) p(\mathcal{C}_j)}$$
$$= \frac{\exp(a_k)}{\sum_j \exp(a_j)}$$
where $a_k = \ln p(\mathbf{x} | \mathcal{C}_k) p(\mathcal{C}_k)$

a. k. a. softmax function

• If some $a_k \gg a_j$, $p(\mathcal{C}_k | \boldsymbol{x})$ goes to 1

Gaussian Class-Conditional Densities

- Back to that a in the logistic sigmoid for 2 classes
- Let's assume the class-conditional densities *p*(*x*|*C_k*) are Gaussians, and have the same covariance matrix Σ:

$$p(\boldsymbol{x}|\mathcal{C}_k) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{D/2} |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|^{1/2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_k)^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_k)\right\}$$

• *a* takes a simple form:

$$a = \ln \frac{p(\boldsymbol{x}|\mathcal{C}_1)p(\mathcal{C}_1)}{p(\boldsymbol{x}|\mathcal{C}_2)p(\mathcal{C}_2)} = \boldsymbol{w}^T \boldsymbol{x} + w_0$$

Gaussian Class-Conditional Densities



- Back to that *a* in the logistic sigmoid for 2 classes
- Let's assume the class-conditional densities *p*(*x*|*C_k*) are Gaussians, and have the same covariance matrix Σ:

$$p(\boldsymbol{x}|\mathcal{C}_k) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{D/2} |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|^{1/2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_k)^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_k)\right\}$$

• *a* takes a simple form:

$$a = \ln \frac{p(\boldsymbol{x}|\mathcal{C}_1)p(\mathcal{C}_1)}{p(\boldsymbol{x}|\mathcal{C}_2)p(\mathcal{C}_2)} = \boldsymbol{w}^T \boldsymbol{x} + w_0$$

• Note that quadratic terms $x^T \Sigma^{-1} x$ cancel, $a \to a \to a$

- 31

Gaussian Class-Conditional Densities



- Back to that *a* in the logistic sigmoid for 2 classes
- Let's assume the class-conditional densities *p*(*x*|*C_k*) are Gaussians, and have the same covariance matrix Σ:

$$p(\boldsymbol{x}|\mathcal{C}_k) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{D/2} |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|^{1/2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_k)^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_k)\right\}$$

• *a* takes a simple form:

$$a = \ln \frac{p(\boldsymbol{x}|\mathcal{C}_1)p(\mathcal{C}_1)}{p(\boldsymbol{x}|\mathcal{C}_2)p(\mathcal{C}_2)} = \boldsymbol{w}^T \boldsymbol{x} + w_0$$

• Note that quadratic terms $x^T \Sigma^{-1} x$ cancel

э.



- Back to that a in the logistic sigmoid for 2 classes
- Let's assume the class-conditional densities $p(\mathbf{x}|C_k)$ are Gaussians, and have the same covariance matrix Σ :

$$p(\boldsymbol{x}|\mathcal{C}_k) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{D/2} |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|^{1/2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_k)^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_k)\right\}$$

1 -1

• *a* takes a simple form:

$$a = \ln \frac{p(\boldsymbol{x}|\mathcal{C}_1)p(\mathcal{C}_1)}{p(\boldsymbol{x}|\mathcal{C}_2)p(\mathcal{C}_2)} = \boldsymbol{w}^T \boldsymbol{x} + w_0$$

• Note that quadratic terms $x^T \Sigma^{-1} x$ cancel

Maximum Likelihood Learning

- We can fit the parameters to this model using maximum likelihood
 - Parameters are μ_1 , μ_2 , Σ^{-1} , $p(\mathcal{C}_1) \equiv \pi$, $p(\mathcal{C}_2) \equiv 1 \pi$
 - Refer to as θ
- For a datapoint x_n from class C_1 ($t_n = 1$):

$$p(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathcal{C}_1) = p(\mathcal{C}_1)p(\mathbf{x}_n|\mathcal{C}_1) = \pi \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_n|\boldsymbol{\mu}_1, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$$

• For a datapoint x_n from class C_2 ($t_n = 0$):

 $p(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathcal{C}_2) = p(\mathcal{C}_2)p(\mathbf{x}_n | \mathcal{C}_2) = (1 - \pi)\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_n | \boldsymbol{\mu}_2, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Maximum Likelihood Learning

- We can fit the parameters to this model using maximum likelihood
 - Parameters are μ_1 , μ_2 , Σ^{-1} , $p(\mathcal{C}_1) \equiv \pi$, $p(\mathcal{C}_2) \equiv 1 \pi$
 - Refer to as θ
- For a datapoint x_n from class C_1 ($t_n = 1$):

$$p(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathcal{C}_1) = p(\mathcal{C}_1)p(\mathbf{x}_n|\mathcal{C}_1) = \pi \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_n|\boldsymbol{\mu}_1, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$$

• For a datapoint x_n from class C_2 ($t_n = 0$):

 $p(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathcal{C}_2) = p(\mathcal{C}_2)p(\mathbf{x}_n | \mathcal{C}_2) = (1 - \pi)\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_n | \boldsymbol{\mu}_2, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$

▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ ▲■ のへ⊙

A D F A 同 F A E F A E F A Q A

Maximum Likelihood Learning

- We can fit the parameters to this model using maximum likelihood
 - Parameters are $\mu_1, \mu_2, \Sigma^{-1}, p(\mathcal{C}_1) \equiv \pi, p(\mathcal{C}_2) \equiv 1 \pi$
 - Refer to as θ
- For a datapoint x_n from class C_1 ($t_n = 1$):

$$p(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathcal{C}_1) = p(\mathcal{C}_1)p(\mathbf{x}_n|\mathcal{C}_1) = \pi \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_n|\boldsymbol{\mu}_1, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$$

• For a datapoint x_n from class C_2 ($t_n = 0$):

$$p(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathcal{C}_2) = p(\mathcal{C}_2)p(\mathbf{x}_n | \mathcal{C}_2) = (1 - \pi)\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_n | \boldsymbol{\mu}_2, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

Maximum Likelihood Learning

The likelihood of the training data is:

$$p(\boldsymbol{t}|\pi,\boldsymbol{\mu}_1,\boldsymbol{\mu}_2,\boldsymbol{\Sigma}) = \prod_{n=1}^{N} [\pi \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{x}_n|\boldsymbol{\mu}_1,\boldsymbol{\Sigma})]^{t_n} [(1-\pi)\mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{x}_n|\boldsymbol{\mu}_2,\boldsymbol{\Sigma})]^{1-t_n}$$

• As usual, In is our friend:

$$\ell(t;\theta) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \underbrace{t_n \ln \pi + (1-t_n) \ln(1-\pi)}_{\pi} + \underbrace{t_n \ln \mathcal{N}_1 + (1-t_n) \ln \mathcal{N}_2}_{\mu_1,\mu_2,\Sigma}$$

Maximize for each separately

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

Maximum Likelihood Learning

The likelihood of the training data is:

$$p(\boldsymbol{t}|\pi,\boldsymbol{\mu}_1,\boldsymbol{\mu}_2,\boldsymbol{\Sigma}) = \prod_{n=1}^{N} [\pi \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{x}_n|\boldsymbol{\mu}_1,\boldsymbol{\Sigma})]^{t_n} [(1-\pi)\mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{x}_n|\boldsymbol{\mu}_2,\boldsymbol{\Sigma})]^{1-t_n}$$

• As usual, In is our friend:

$$\ell(t;\theta) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \underbrace{t_n \ln \pi + (1-t_n) \ln(1-\pi)}_{\pi} + \underbrace{t_n \ln \mathcal{N}_1 + (1-t_n) \ln \mathcal{N}_2}_{\mu_1,\mu_2,\Sigma}$$

Maximize for each separately

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Maximum Likelihood Learning - Class Priors

 Maximization with respect to the class priors parameter π is straightforward:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \pi} \ell(t; \theta) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{t_n}{\pi} - \frac{1 - t_n}{1 - \pi}$$
$$\Rightarrow \pi = \frac{N_1}{N_0 + N_0}$$

- N₁ and N₂ are the number of training points in each class
- Prior is simply the fraction of points in each class

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Maximum Likelihood Learning - Class Priors

 Maximization with respect to the class priors parameter π is straightforward:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \pi} \ell(t; \theta) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{t_n}{\pi} - \frac{1 - t_n}{1 - \pi}$$
$$\Rightarrow \pi = \frac{N_1}{N_1 + N_2}$$

- N₁ and N₂ are the number of training points in each class
- Prior is simply the fraction of points in each class

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Maximum Likelihood Learning - Class Priors

 Maximization with respect to the class priors parameter π is straightforward:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \pi} \ell(t; \theta) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{t_n}{\pi} - \frac{1 - t_n}{1 - \pi}$$
$$\Rightarrow \pi = \frac{N_1}{N_1 + N_2}$$

- N₁ and N₂ are the number of training points in each class
- Prior is simply the fraction of points in each class

Maximum Likelihood Learning - Gaussian Parameters

- The other parameters can also be found in the same fashion
- Class means:

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_1 = \frac{1}{N_1} \sum_{n=1}^N t_n \boldsymbol{x}_n$$
$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_2 = \frac{1}{N_2} \sum_{n=1}^N (1 - t_n) \boldsymbol{x}_n$$

- · Means of training examples from each class
- Shared covariance matrix:

$$\Sigma = \frac{N_1}{N} \frac{1}{N_1} \sum_{n \in C_1} (\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_1) (\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_1)^T + \frac{N_2}{N} \frac{1}{N_2} \sum_{n \in C_2} (\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_2) (\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_2)^T$$

Weighted average of class covariances

Maximum Likelihood Learning - Gaussian Parameters

- The other parameters can also be found in the same fashion
- Class means:

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_1 = \frac{1}{N_1} \sum_{n=1}^N t_n \boldsymbol{x}_n$$
$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_2 = \frac{1}{N_2} \sum_{n=1}^N (1 - t_n) \boldsymbol{x}_n$$

- · Means of training examples from each class
- Shared covariance matrix:

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \frac{N_1}{N} \frac{1}{N_1} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{C}_1} (\boldsymbol{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_1) (\boldsymbol{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_1)^T + \frac{N_2}{N} \frac{1}{N_2} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{C}_2} (\boldsymbol{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_2) (\boldsymbol{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_2)^T$$

Weighted average of class covariances

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

Probabilistic Generative Models Summary

- Fitting Gaussian using ML criterion is sensitive to outliers
- Simple linear form for *a* in logistic sigmoid occurs for more than just Gaussian distributions
 - Arises for any distribution in the exponential family, a large class of distributions

Discriminative Models



Discriminant Functions

Generative Models

Discriminative Models

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

Probabilistic Discriminative Models

- Generative model made assumptions about form of class-conditional distributions (e.g. Gaussian)
 - Resulted in logistic sigmoid of linear function of x
- Discriminative model explicitly use functional form

$$p(\mathcal{C}_1|\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x} + w_0)}$$

and find *w* directly

- For the generative model we had 2M + M(M + 1)/2 + 1 parameters
 - M is dimensionality of x
- Discriminative model will have M + 1 parameters

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Probabilistic Discriminative Models

- Generative model made assumptions about form of class-conditional distributions (e.g. Gaussian)
 - Resulted in logistic sigmoid of linear function of x
- Discriminative model explicitly use functional form

$$p(\mathcal{C}_1|\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-\boldsymbol{w}^T\boldsymbol{x} + w_0)}$$

and find w directly

- For the generative model we had 2M + M(M + 1)/2 + 1 parameters
 - M is dimensionality of x
- Discriminative model will have M + 1 parameters

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Probabilistic Discriminative Models

- Generative model made assumptions about form of class-conditional distributions (e.g. Gaussian)
 - Resulted in logistic sigmoid of linear function of x
- Discriminative model explicitly use functional form

$$p(\mathcal{C}_1|\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-\boldsymbol{w}^T \boldsymbol{x} + w_0)}$$

and find w directly

- For the generative model we had 2M + M(M + 1)/2 + 1 parameters
 - *M* is dimensionality of *x*
- Discriminative model will have M + 1 parameters
Generative vs. Discriminative

Generative models

- Can generate synthetic example data
- Perhaps accurate classification is equivalent to accurate synthesis
 - e.g. vision and graphics
- Tend to have more parameters
- Require good model of class distributions

- Discriminative models
 - Only usable for classification
 - Don't solve a harder problem than you need to
 - Tend to have fewer parameters

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

 Require good model of decision boundary

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Maximum Likelihood Learning - Discriminative Model

 As usual we can use the maximum likelihood criterion for learning

$$p(t|w) = \prod_{n=1}^{N} y_n^{t_n} \{1 - y_n\}^{1 - t_n}$$
; where $y_n = p(C_1|x_n)$

$$\nabla \ell(\boldsymbol{w}) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} (t_n - y_n) \boldsymbol{x}_n$$

- This time no closed-form solution since $y_n = \sigma(w^T x)$
- Could use (stochastic) gradient descent
 - But there's a better iterative technique

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Maximum Likelihood Learning - Discriminative Model

 As usual we can use the maximum likelihood criterion for learning

$$p(t|w) = \prod_{n=1}^{N} y_n^{t_n} \{1 - y_n\}^{1 - t_n}$$
; where $y_n = p(C_1|x_n)$

$$\nabla \ell(\boldsymbol{w}) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} (t_n - y_n) \boldsymbol{x}_n$$

- This time no closed-form solution since $y_n = \sigma(w^T x)$
- Could use (stochastic) gradient descent
 - But there's a better iterative technique

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Maximum Likelihood Learning - Discriminative Model

 As usual we can use the maximum likelihood criterion for learning

$$p(t|w) = \prod_{n=1}^{N} y_n^{t_n} \{1 - y_n\}^{1 - t_n}$$
; where $y_n = p(C_1|x_n)$

$$\nabla \ell(\boldsymbol{w}) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} (t_n - y_n) \boldsymbol{x}_n$$

- This time no closed-form solution since $y_n = \sigma(w^T x)$
- Could use (stochastic) gradient descent
 - But there's a better iterative technique

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Maximum Likelihood Learning - Discriminative Model

 As usual we can use the maximum likelihood criterion for learning

$$p(t|w) = \prod_{n=1}^{N} y_n^{t_n} \{1 - y_n\}^{1 - t_n}$$
; where $y_n = p(C_1|x_n)$

$$\nabla \ell(\boldsymbol{w}) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} (t_n - y_n) \boldsymbol{x}_n$$

- This time no closed-form solution since $y_n = \sigma(w^T x)$
- Could use (stochastic) gradient descent
 - But there's a better iterative technique

- Iterative reweighted least squares (IRLS) is a descent method
 - As in gradient descent, start with an initial guess, improve it
 - Gradient descent take a step (how large?) in the gradient direction
- IRLS is a special case of a Newton-Raphson method
 - Approximate function using second-order Taylor expansion:

$$\hat{f}(\boldsymbol{w}+\boldsymbol{v}) = f(\boldsymbol{w}) + \nabla f(\boldsymbol{w})^T (\boldsymbol{v}-\boldsymbol{w}) + \frac{1}{2} (\boldsymbol{v}-\boldsymbol{w})^T \nabla^2 f(\boldsymbol{w}) (\boldsymbol{v}-\boldsymbol{w})$$

- Closed-form solution to minimize this is straight-forward: quadratic, derivatives linear
- In IRLS this second-order Taylor expansion ends up being a weighted least-squares problem, as in the regression case from last week
 - Hence the name IRLS

- Iterative reweighted least squares (IRLS) is a descent method
 - As in gradient descent, start with an initial guess, improve it
 - Gradient descent take a step (how large?) in the gradient direction
- IRLS is a special case of a Newton-Raphson method
 - Approximate function using second-order Taylor expansion:

$$\hat{f}(\boldsymbol{w}+\boldsymbol{v}) = f(\boldsymbol{w}) + \nabla f(\boldsymbol{w})^T (\boldsymbol{v}-\boldsymbol{w}) + \frac{1}{2} (\boldsymbol{v}-\boldsymbol{w})^T \nabla^2 f(\boldsymbol{w}) (\boldsymbol{v}-\boldsymbol{w})$$

- Closed-form solution to minimize this is straight-forward: quadratic, derivatives linear
- In IRLS this second-order Taylor expansion ends up being a weighted least-squares problem, as in the regression case from last week
 - Hence the name IRLS

- Iterative reweighted least squares (IRLS) is a descent method
 - As in gradient descent, start with an initial guess, improve it
 - Gradient descent take a step (how large?) in the gradient direction
- IRLS is a special case of a Newton-Raphson method
 - Approximate function using second-order Taylor expansion:

$$\hat{f}(\boldsymbol{w}+\boldsymbol{v}) = f(\boldsymbol{w}) + \nabla f(\boldsymbol{w})^T (\boldsymbol{v}-\boldsymbol{w}) + \frac{1}{2} (\boldsymbol{v}-\boldsymbol{w})^T \nabla^2 f(\boldsymbol{w}) (\boldsymbol{v}-\boldsymbol{w})$$

- Closed-form solution to minimize this is straight-forward: quadratic, derivatives linear
- In IRLS this second-order Taylor expansion ends up being a weighted least-squares problem, as in the regression case from last week
 - Hence the name IRLS

- Iterative reweighted least squares (IRLS) is a descent method
 - As in gradient descent, start with an initial guess, improve it
 - Gradient descent take a step (how large?) in the gradient direction
- IRLS is a special case of a Newton-Raphson method
 - Approximate function using second-order Taylor expansion:

$$\hat{f}(\boldsymbol{w}+\boldsymbol{v}) = f(\boldsymbol{w}) + \nabla f(\boldsymbol{w})^T (\boldsymbol{v}-\boldsymbol{w}) + \frac{1}{2} (\boldsymbol{v}-\boldsymbol{w})^T \nabla^2 f(\boldsymbol{w}) (\boldsymbol{v}-\boldsymbol{w})$$

- Closed-form solution to minimize this is straight-forward: quadratic, derivatives linear
- In IRLS this second-order Taylor expansion ends up being a weighted least-squares problem, as in the regression case from last week
 - Hence the name IRLS

- Iterative reweighted least squares (IRLS) is a descent method
 - As in gradient descent, start with an initial guess, improve it
 - Gradient descent take a step (how large?) in the gradient direction
- IRLS is a special case of a Newton-Raphson method
 - Approximate function using second-order Taylor expansion:

$$\hat{f}(\boldsymbol{w}+\boldsymbol{v}) = f(\boldsymbol{w}) + \nabla f(\boldsymbol{w})^T (\boldsymbol{v}-\boldsymbol{w}) + \frac{1}{2} (\boldsymbol{v}-\boldsymbol{w})^T \nabla^2 f(\boldsymbol{w}) (\boldsymbol{v}-\boldsymbol{w})$$

- Closed-form solution to minimize this is straight-forward: quadratic, derivatives linear
- In IRLS this second-order Taylor expansion ends up being a weighted least-squares problem, as in the regression case from last week
 - Hence the name IRLS

・ロ ・ ・ 一 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・

3

Newton-Raphson



- Figure from Boyd and Vandenberghe, Convex Optimization
 - Excellent reference, free for download online http://www.stanford.edu/~boyd/cvxbook/

Conclusion

- Readings: Ch. 4.1.1-4.1.4, 4.1.7, 4.2.1-4.2.2, 4.3.1-4.3.3
- Generalized linear models $y(\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x} + w_0)$
- Threshold/max function for $f(\cdot)$
 - Minimize with least squares
 - Fisher criterion class separation
 - · Perceptron criterion mis-classified examples
- Probabilistic models: logistic sigmoid / softmax for $f(\cdot)$
 - Generative model assume class conditional densities in exponential family; obtain sigmoid
 - Discriminative model directly model posterior using sigmoid (a. k. a. logistic regression, though classification)
 - Can learn either using maximum likelihood
- All of these models are limited to linear decision boundaries in feature space