

GraphBolt: Dependency-Driven Synchronous Processing of Streaming Graphs

Mugilan Mariappan and Keval Vora Simon Fraser University

> EuroSys'19 – Dresden, Germany March 27, 2019

Graph Processing









Dynamic Graph Processing

Real-time Processing

• Low Latency

Real-time **Batch** Processing

• High Throughput

Alipay payments unit of Chinese retailer Alibaba [..] has 120 billion nodes and over 1 trillion relationships [..]; this graph has 2 billion updates each day and was running at 250,000 transactions per second on Singles Day [..]



Incremental Processing

- Adjust results **incrementally**
- Reuse work that has already been done

Tornado [SIGMOD'16] GraphIn [EuroPar'16] KineoGraph [EuroSys'12]

Tag Propagationupon mutationOver 75% values get thrown out



KickStarter [ASPLOS'17]

Incremental Processing

- Adjust results **incrementally**
- Reuse work that has already been done

Tornado [SIGMOD'16] GraphIn [EuroPar'16] KineoGraph [EuroSys'12]

KickStarter [ASPLOS'17]

Maintain Value Dependences Incrementally refine results

Less than 0.0005% values thrown out



Incremental Processing

- Adjust results **incrementally**
- Reuse work that has already been done



KickStarter [ASPLOS'17]

Maintain Value Dependences Incrementally refine results

Less than 0.0005% values thrown out



- Belief Propagation
- Co-Training Expectation Maximization
- Collaborative Filtering
- Label Propagation
- Triangle Counting

• ...





























GraphBolt



- **Dependency-Driven Incremental** Processing of Streaming Graphs
- Guarantee Bulk Synchronous
 Parallel Semantics

- Lightweight dependence tracking
- Dependency-aware value refinement upon graph mutation

Bulk Synchronous Processing (BSP)









Streaming Graph



Streaming Graph



Streaming Graph



Streaming Graph



Streaming Graph



Streaming Graph



Streaming Graph

Upon Edge Deletion



Streaming Graph





Ideal Scenario



Ideal Scenario







Streaming Graph

GraphBolt: Dependency Tracking



GraphBolt: Dependency Tracking



• Structure of dependencies inferred from input graphs

$$c_i(v) = \oint_{\forall e=(u,v) \in E} (\underbrace{c_{i-1}(u)}_{\forall e=(u,v) \in E})$$

$$g_i(v) = \bigoplus_{\forall e = (u, v) \in E} (c_{i-1}(u))$$

GraphBolt: Incremental Refinement



 $g_i^T(v) = g_i(v) + ?$

GraphBolt: Incremental Refinement



GraphBolt: Incremental Refinement



Refinement: Transitive Changes



Refinement: Transitive Changes



Refinement: Transitive Changes



Refinement: Aggregation Types



Refinement: Aggregation Types



GraphBolt: Programming Model

function REPROPAGATE(e = (u, v), i) ATOMICADD(&sum[v][i + 1], $\frac{oldpr[u][i]}{old_degree[u]}$) end function

function RETRACT(
$$e = (u, v)$$
, i)
ATOMICSUB(∑[v][$i + 1$], $\frac{oldpr[u][i]}{old_degree[u]}$)
end function

function PROPAGATE
$$(e = (u, v), i)$$

ATOMICADD $(\∑[v][i + 1], \frac{newpr[u][i]}{new_degree[u]})$
end function

function PAGERANK() Direct changes
for
$$i \in [0...k]$$
 do
EDGEMAP(E_add, REPROPAGATE, i)
EDGEMAP(E_delete, RETRACT, i)
end for
 $V_updated = GETSOURCES(E_add \cup E_delete)$
 $V_change = GETTARGETS(E_add \cup E_delete)$
for $i \in [0...k]$ do
 $E_update = \{(u, v) : u \in V_updated\}$
EDGEMAP(E_update , RETRACT, i)
EDGEMAP(E_update , PROPAGATE, i)
 $V_dest = GETTARGETS(E_update)$
 $V_change = V_change \cup V_dest$
 $V_updated = VERTEXMAP(V_change, COMPUTE, i)$
end for
end function

GraphBolt: Programming Model - Complex aggregations

function REPROPAGATE(e = (u, v), i)ATOMICADD $(\&sum[v][i + 1], \frac{oldpr[u][i]}{old_degree[u]})$ end function

function RETRACT(
$$e = (u, v)$$
, i)
ATOMICSUB(∑[v][$i + 1$], $\frac{oldpr[u][i]}{old_degree[u]}$)
end function

function PROPAGATE(
$$e = (u, v)$$
, i)
ATOMICADD(∑[v][$i + 1$], $\frac{newpr[u][i]}{new_degree[u]}$)
end function

function PAGERANK() for $i \in [0...k]$ do EDGEMAP(E_add , REPROPAGATE, i) EDGEMAP(E delete, RETRACT, i) end for $V_updated = GETSOURCES(E_add \cup E_delete)$ $V_{change} = \text{GetTArgets}(E_{add} \cup E_{delete})$ for $i \in [0...k]$ do $E_update = \{(u, v) : u \in V_updated\}$ Transitive changes EDGEMAP(E_update , RETRACT, i) EDGEMAP(E_update , propagate, i) $V_dest = GETTARGETS(E_update)$ V change = V change $\cup V$ dest $V_updated = VERTEXMAP(V_change, COMPUTE, i)$ end for end function

GraphBolt: Programming Model - Simple aggregations

function REPROPAGATE(e = (u, v), i)ATOMICADD $(\&sum[v][i + 1], \frac{oldpr[u][i]}{old_degree[u]})$ end function

function RETRACT(
$$e = (u, v)$$
, i)
ATOMICSUB(∑[v][$i + 1$], $\frac{oldpr[u][i]}{old_degree[u]}$)
end function

function PROPAGATEDELTA(
$$e = (u, v)$$
, i)
ATOMICADD(∑[v][$i + 1$], $\frac{newpr[u][i]}{new_degree[u]} - \frac{oldpr[u][i]}{old_degree[u]}$)
end function

function PAGERANK() for $i \in [0...k]$ do EDGEMAP(E add, REPROPAGATE, i) EDGEMAP(E delete, RETRACT, i) end for $V_updated = GETSOURCES(E_add \cup E_delete)$ $V_change = GETTARGETS(E_add \cup E_delete)$ for $i \in [0...k]$ do $E_update = \{(u, v) : u \in V_updated\}$ Transitive changes EDGEMAP(E_update , propagateDelta, i) $V_dest = GetTarGets(E_update)$ $V_{change} = V_{change} \cup V_{dest}$ V updated = VERTEXMAP(V change, COMPUTE, i) end for end function

GraphBolt Details ...

- Aggregation properties & non-decomposable aggregations
- **Pruning dependencies** for light-weight tracking
 - Vertical pruning
 - Horizontal pruning
- Hybrid incremental execution
 - With & without dependency information
- Graph data structure & parallelization model

Experimental Setup

Algorithm	Aggregation (\bigoplus)	Graphs	Edges
PageRank (PR)	$\sum_{\substack{\forall e = (u, v) \in E}} \frac{c(u)}{out_degree(u)}$	Wiki (WK) [47]	378M
		UKDomain (UK) [7]	1.0B
Belief Propagation (BP)	$\forall s \in S : \prod_{\forall o = (u, v) \in E} \left(\sum_{\forall o' \in S} \phi(u, s') \times \psi(u, v, s', s) \times c(u, s') \right)$	Twitter (TW) [21]	1.5B
Label Propagation (LP)	$\forall f \in F : \sum_{\forall e=(u,v) \in E} c(u,f) \times weight(u,v)$	TwitterMPI (TT) [8]	2.0B
		Friendster (FT) [14]	2.5B
Co-Training Expectation Maximization (CoEM)	$\sum_{\substack{\forall e = (u, v) \in E}} \frac{c(u) \times weight(u, v)}{\sum\limits_{\substack{\forall e = (w, v) \in E}} weight(w, v)}}$	Yahoo (YH) [49]	6.6B
Collaborative Filtering (CF)	$\langle \sum_{\forall e=(u,v)\in E} c_i(u).c_i(u)^{tr}, \sum_{\forall e=(u,v)\in E} c_i(u).weight(u,v) \rangle$		
Triangle Counting (TC)	$\sum_{\substack{\forall e=(u,v)\in E}} in_neighbors(u) \cap out_neighbors(v) $	Server: 32-core	/ 2 GH

Vertices

12M

39.5M

41.7M

52.6M

68.3M

1.4B

2 GHz / 231 GB















GraphBolt-Reset GraphBolt













GraphBolt-Reset GraphBolt













GraphBolt-Reset GraphBolt















Detailed Experiments ...

- Varying workload type
 - Mutations affecting majority of the values v/s affecting small values
- Varying graph mutation rate
 - Single edge update (reactiveness) v/s 1 million edge updates (throughput)
- Scaling to large graph (Yahoo) over large system (96 core/748 GB)

GraphBolt v/s Differential Dataflow



Differential Dataflow. McSherry et al., CIDR 2013.

Summary

- Efficient **incremental processing** of **streaming graphs**
- Guarantee Bulk Synchronous Parallel semantics
- Lightweight **dependence tracking** at aggregation level
- **Dependency-aware value refinement** upon graph mutation
 - Programming model to support **incremental complex aggregation** types

Acknowledgements



