MACM 101 (D200) Homework WHW 3 Due October 6, 2020; 12:30 pm

October 6, 2020

Exercises from the text (To be handed in).

- (A) 2.1.2, 2.1.6
- (B) 2.2.1, 2.2.4, 2.2.5
- (C) 2.3.1, 2.3.3
- (D) 2.4.3, 2.4.4
- (E) 2.5.1, 2.5.5
- (F) 2.6.3, 2.6.6
- (G) 2.7.1, 2.7.3

Other Problems (Not To be handed in).

1. Give an example to show that

$$(\forall y)(\exists x) \ p(x,y) \leftrightarrow (\exists y)(\forall x) \ p(y,x)$$

Solution: Suppose the open statement p(x, y) is " $x \cdot y = 0$ " where the universe of x and y are the reals.

2. Suppose n is an arbitrary integer.

(a) Show that n(n + 1) is divisible by 2. Solution: Proof by cases: If n = 2t, then

$$n(n+1) = 2t(2t+1) = 2(t^2+t)$$

is even.

If n=2t+1, then

$$n(n+1) = (2t+1)(2t+2) = 2(2t^2+3t+1)$$

is also even.

Therefore, whether n is even or odd, the product n(n+1) is always even.

- (b) Show that n(n + 1)(n + 2) is divisible by 3!. Solution: We can prove this in more than one way.
 - **Proof by cases:** Any integer can be expressed as 6t + u where is one of 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5. Now

$$n(n+1)(n+2) = (6t+u)(6t+u+1)(6t+u+2)$$

which is divisible by 6 if u(u+1)(u+2) is divisible by 6 (check). We prove this claim using an exhaustive proof. We show that for each value of u in $\{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$, 6 divides u(u+1)(u+2).

u	u(u+1)(u+2)
0	0.1.2 = 0 = 6.0
1	1.2.3 = 6 = 6.1
2	2.3.4 = 24 = 6.4
3	3.4.5 = 60 = 6.10
4	4.5.6 = 120 = 6.20
5	5.6.7 = 210 = 6.35

This completes the proof. This is a correct proof, but is not elegant.

- Another proof by cases approach We have already seen that n(n+1) is divisible by 2. We can also show that n(n+1)(n+2) is divisible by 3. This can be done by showing that any integer of the type n = 3t + u, u = 0, 1, 2 is divisible by 3 (use arguments similar to the one described above). Since 2 and 3 do not have a common factor, therefore n(n+1)(n+2) is divisible by $2 \cdot 3$.
- 3. (a) Prove that $\sqrt{7}$ is an irrational number.

Solution: We can prove this by contradiction. Suppose $\neg p$ is true, i.e.

 $\sqrt{7}$ is rational. Therefore, we can use the fact that $\sqrt{7}$ can be expressed as $\sqrt{7} = \frac{a}{b}$ where integers a and b have no common factors. We can write $a^2 = 7b^2$. This implies that a^2 is divisible by 7. Since 7 is a prime number, 7 divides a^2 implies 7 divides a. Thus $a = 7 \cdot t$ for some integer t. Now $a^2 = 7b^2$ can be written as $49t^2 = 7b^2$. This means that 7 divides b^2 as well. Since 7 is a prime number, 7 divides b. We now arrive at a contradiction: We started with the fact that a and b have no common factor. We then showed that 7 is a common factor of a and b. This leads to the conclusion that $\neg p$ is false. This implies that $\sqrt{7}$ is an irrational number.

- (b) Show where your arguments in (a) get violated if you want to show in a similar manner that √9 is an irrational number.
 Solution: The arguments used above cannot be applied for the case of √9 since the highlighted statement above is not true for 9, since 9 is not a prime number. (9 divides 6² doesn't mean that 9 divides 6.)
- Find a counterexample to the statement that every positive integers can be written as the sum of the squares of three integers. What is the smallest integer for which it is a counterexample.
 Solution: We see that
 - $1 = 1^2 + 0^2 + 0^2$
 - $2 = 1^2 + 1^2 + 0^2$
 - $3 = 1^2 + 1^2 + 1^2$
 - $4 = 2^2 + 0^2 + 0^2$
 - $5 = 2^2 + 1^2 + 0^2$
 - $6 = 2^2 + 1^2 + 1^2$

We are unable to express 7 as the sum of the squares of three integers. Therefore, n = 7 is the smallest integer for which it is a counterexample.