

# Logic- Part-I

Some slides have been taken from the sites

<http://cse.unl.edu/~choueiry/S13-235/>

and

[http://www.csee.umbc.edu/~ypeng/S03203/  
S03203.html](http://www.csee.umbc.edu/~ypeng/S03203/S03203.html)

# Logic

- Important for mathematical reasoning, program design.
- Used for designing electronic circuitry
- (Propositional ) Logic is a system based on **statements** (also called **propositions**).

# Logic

- A statement is a (declarative) sentence that is either **true** or **false** (not both).
- We say that the **truth value** of a proposition is either true (**T**) or false (**F**).
- Corresponds to **1** and **0** in digital circuits
- We usually denote a proposition by a letter:  
*p, q, r, s, ...*

- Consider a sentence: The sun rises in the east
- Is it a statement?
- What is the truth value of the proposition?

- Consider a sentence: The sun rises in the east
- Is it a statement? YES
- What is the truth value of the proposition? TRUE

- Consider a sentence:  $\{0,2,3\} \cap \mathbb{N} = \emptyset$
- Is it a statement?
- What is the truth value of the proposition?

- Consider a sentence:  $\{0,2,3\} \cap \mathbb{N} = \emptyset$
- Is it a statement? YES
- What is the truth value of the proposition? False

- Consider a sentence:  $y > 21$
- Is it a statement?
- What is the truth value of the proposition?



- Consider a sentence:  $y > 21$

- Is it a statement? No

- What is the truth value of the proposition?

Its truth value depends on unspecified  $y$ . This statement is called an open statement.

- Consider a sentence: Please do not fall asleep.
- Is it a statement?
- What is the truth value of the proposition?

- Consider a sentence: Please do not fall asleep.

- Is it a statement? No

- What is the truth value of the proposition?  
It is neither true nor false.

## Sentences that are not statements with similar expressions that are statements

NOT Statements:	Statements:
Add 5 to both sides.	Adding 5 to both sides of $x - 5 = 37$ gives $x = 42$ .
$\mathbb{Z}$	$42 \in \mathbb{Z}$
42	42 is not a number.
What is the solution of $2x = 84$ ?	The solution of $2x = 84$ is 42.

- Consider a sentence:
  - Every even integer greater than 2 is a sum of two prime numbers.
  - Goldbach conjecture
- Is it a statement?
- What is the truth value of the proposition?

- Consider a sentence:
  - Every even integer greater than 2 is a sum of two prime numbers.
  - Goldbach conjecture
- Is it a statement? Yes
- What is the truth value of the proposition? Probably true

- Consider a sentence:
  - Either  $x$  is a multiple of 7 or it is not
- Is it a statement?
- What is the truth value of the proposition?

- Consider a sentence:
  - Either  $x$  is a multiple of 7 or it is not
- Is it a statement? Yes
- What is the truth value of the proposition? True since it is true for all  $x$ .



# Logical Connectives (Operators)

- Combining statements to make compound statements.
- $p, q, r, s, \dots$  represent statements/propositions.
- Following connectives are considered now.

Operator	Symbol	Usage
Conjunction	$\wedge$	and
Disjunction	$\vee$	or
Negation	$\neg$	not
Exclusive or	$\oplus$	xor
Conditional	$\Rightarrow$	if, then
Biconditional	$\Leftrightarrow$	if and only if (iff)

# Logical Connective: Logical And

- The logical connective **AND** is true only when both of the propositions are true. It is also called a conjunction
- Examples
  - It is raining and it is warm
  - $(2+3=5)$  and  $(1<2)$ .
- Truth table

$p$	$q$	$p \wedge q$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	F
F	F	F

# Logical Connective: Logical OR

- The logical disjunction, or logical OR, is true if one or both of the propositions are true.
- Examples
  - It is raining or it is the second lecture
  - $(2+2=5) \vee (1<2)$

- **Truth table**

$p$	$q$	$p \vee q$
T	T	T
T	F	T
F	T	T
F	F	F

# Logical Connective: Negation

- $\neg p$ , the negation of a proposition  $p$ , is also a proposition
- $p$ : Today is Monday
- Examples:
  - Today is not Monday
  - It is not the case that today is Monday, etc.

- **Truth table**

$p$	$\neg p$
T	F
F	T

# Logical Connective: Exclusive Or

- The exclusive OR, or XOR, of two propositions is true when exactly one of the propositions is true and the other one is false
- Example
  - The circuit is either ON or OFF but not both
  - Let  $ab < 0$ , then either  $a < 0$  or  $b < 0$  but not both
- Truth table

$p$	$q$	$p \oplus q$
T	T	F
T	F	T
F	T	T
F	F	F

# Logical Connective: Implication (1)

- **Definition:** Let  $p$  and  $q$  be two propositions. The implication  $p \rightarrow q$  is the proposition that is false when  $p$  is true and  $q$  is false and true otherwise
  - $p$  is called the hypothesis, antecedent, premise
  - $q$  is called the conclusion, consequence

- **Truth table**

$p$	$q$	$p \Rightarrow q$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	T
F	F	T

# Logical Connective: Implication (2)

- The implication of  $p \rightarrow q$  can be also read as
  - $p$  implies  $q$
  - If  $p$ , then  $q$
  - whenever  $p$ , then also  $q$
  - $q$  follows from  $p$
  - $p$  only if  $q$  ( $p$  cannot be true if  $q$  is not true)
  - $p$  is a **sufficient** condition for  $q$  ( $p$  is sufficient for  $q$ )
  - $q$  is a **necessary** condition for  $p$  ( $q$  is necessary for  $p$ ) ( $p$  cannot be true unless  $q$  is true (i.e. if  $q$  is false,  $p$  is false))

# Logical Connective: Implication (→)

- Consider the statements:
  - you pass the exam → you pass the course
- Equivalent statements:
  - Passing the exam is sufficient for passing the course.
  - For you to pass this course, it is sufficient that you pass the exam.



Exercise: Which of the following implications is true?

- If  $-1$  is a positive number, then  $2+2=5$

Exercise: Which of the following implications is true?

- If -1 is a positive number, then  $2+2=5$

True. The premise is obviously false, thus no matter what the conclusion is, the implication holds.

Exercise: Which of the following implications is true?

- If  $-1$  is a positive number, then  $2+2=5$

True. The premise is obviously false, thus no matter what the conclusion is, the implication holds.

- If  $-1$  is a positive number, then  $2+2=4$

True. Same as above.

Exercise: Which of the following implications is true?

- If -1 is a positive number, then  $2+2=5$

True. The premise is obviously false, thus no matter what the conclusion is, the implication holds.

- If -1 is a positive number, then  $2+2=4$

True. Same as above.

- If you get an 100% on your Midterm 1, then you will have an A<sup>+</sup>.

Exercise: Which of the following implications is true?

- If -1 is a positive number, then  $2+2=5$

True. The premise is obviously false, thus no matter what the conclusion is, the implication holds.

- If -1 is a positive number, then  $2+2=4$

True. Same as above.

- If you get an 100% on your Midterm 1, then you will have an  $A^+$ .

False. Your grades homework, quizzes, Midterm 2, and Final, if they are bad, would prevent you from having an  $A^+$ .

# Exercises

- To take discrete mathematics, you must have taken calculus or a course in computer science.
- When you buy a new car from Acme Motor Company, you get \$2000 back in cash or a 2% car loan.
- School is closed if more than 2 feet of snow falls and if the wind chill is below -80.

# Exercises

- To take discrete mathematics, you must have taken calculus or a course in computer science.
- Propositions
  - $p$ : take discrete math
  - $q$ : you have taken calculus
  - $r$  : you have taken a course in CS
- $p \Rightarrow q \vee r$

# Exercises

- When you buy a new car from Acme Motor Company, you get \$2000 back in cash or a 2% car loan.
- Propositions
  - $p$ : you buy a car
  - $q$ : you you get \$2000 back
  - $r$  : you get 2% car loan
- $p \Rightarrow q \oplus r$



# Exercises

- School is closed if more than 2 feet of snow falls and if the wind chill is below -80.

- Propositions

- p: School is closed
- q: 2 feet of snow falls
- r : wind chill is below -80

$$q \wedge r \Rightarrow p$$

# Logical Connective: Biconditional (1)

- **Definition:** The biconditional  $p \Leftrightarrow q$  is the proposition that is true when  $p$  and  $q$  have the same truth values. It is false otherwise.
- Note that it is equivalent to  $(p \Rightarrow q) \wedge (q \Rightarrow p)$
- **Truth table**

$p$	$q$	$p \Rightarrow q$	$q \Rightarrow p$	$p \Leftrightarrow q$
T	T	T	T	T
T	F	F	T	F
F	T	T	F	F
F	F	T	T	T

# Logical Connective: Biconditional (2)

- The biconditional  $p \leftrightarrow q$  can be equivalently read as
  - $p$  if **and only** if  $q$
  - $p$  is a **necessary and sufficient** condition for  $q$
  - if  $p$  then  $q$ , and **conversely**
  - $p$  iff  $q$
- Examples
  - $x > 0$  if and only if  $x^2$  is positive
  - The alarm goes off iff a burglar breaks in

# Truth Tables

- Truth tables are used to show/define the relationships between the truth values of
  - the individual propositions and
  - the compound propositions based on them

$p$	$q$	$p \wedge q$	$p \vee q$	$p \oplus q$	$\neg p$	$\neg q$	$p \Rightarrow q$	$q \Rightarrow p$	$p \Leftrightarrow q$
T	T	T	T	F	F	F	T	T	T
T	F	F	T	T	F	T	F	T	F
F	T	F	T	T	T	F	T	F	F
F	F	F	F	F	T	T	T	T	T

## Web Search



Use the form below and your advanced search will appear here

### Find web pages that have...

all these words:

this exact wording or phrase:

[tip](#)

one or more of these words:

OR

OR

[tip](#)

### But don't show pages that have...

any of these unwanted words:

[tip](#)

$(\text{lady} \wedge \text{tiger}) \wedge (\text{the other room}) \wedge (\text{door} \vee \text{sign}) \wedge \neg \text{insane}$

# Precedence of Logical Operators

- As in arithmetic, an ordering is imposed on the use of logical operators in compound propositions
- However, it is preferable to use parentheses to disambiguate operators and facilitate readability

$$\neg p \vee q \wedge \neg r \equiv (\neg p) \vee (q \wedge (\neg r))$$

- To avoid unnecessary parenthesis, the following precedence hold:

1. Negation ( $\neg$ ) ——— **highest**
2. Conjunction ( $\wedge$ )
3. Disjunction ( $\vee$ ); Exclusive-or ( $\oplus$ )
4. Implication ( $\Rightarrow$ )
5. Biconditional ( $\Leftrightarrow$ ) ——— **lowest**

# Examples

- Sentence ?
  - Some sets are finite.
  - $N \in \text{PowerSet}(N)$
- Express each statement or open statement in one of the forms:  $p \wedge q$ ,  $p \vee q$ , or  $\neg p$ .
  - Today is cold but it is not cloudy
  - $x \in A - B$
  - At least one of the numbers  $x$  and  $y$  equals 0.

# Examples

- Express the following sentence in the form **If P, then Q.**
  - We will order pizza today if there are 100 students in the class.
  - (If there are 100 students, then we will order pizza today)
  - We will order pizza today only if there are 100 students in the class.
  - (If we order pizza today, there are 100 students in the class)
  - You can use the lab if you are a cs major or not a freshman.
  - (if you are a cs major or not a freshman, then you can use the lab.)
  - An integer is divisible by 8 only if it is divisible by 4.
  - (If an integer is divisible by 8, then it is divisible by 4.)



# Logical Equivalence

- Two statements are logically equivalent if their truth values match up line-for-line in a truth table.
- Logical equivalence gives us different ways of looking at the same thing.
- Consider the following truth table concerning  $p \Rightarrow q$ .

$p$	$q$	$\neg p$	$\neg q$	$p \Rightarrow q$	$\neg p \vee q$	$\neg q \Rightarrow \neg p$
T	T	F	F	T	T	T
T	F	F	T	F	F	F
F	T	T	F	T	T	T
F	F	T	T	T	T	T

# Example

- If  $m$  is an even integer then  $m+7$  is odd.
- Let  $p$ :  $m$  is an even integer;  $q$ :  $m+7$  is odd.
- Using propositional notation  $p \Rightarrow q$ .
- Proving  $p \Rightarrow q$ .

- $p$  is true

$$\Rightarrow m=2a, a \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$\Rightarrow 2a + 6 \text{ is an even number}$$

$$\Rightarrow (2a + 6) + 1 \text{ is an odd number}$$

$$\Rightarrow m + 7 \text{ is an odd number}$$

$$\Rightarrow q$$

# Example

- Proving  $\neg q \Rightarrow \neg p$ .

- $q$  is not true

$\Rightarrow m+7$  is even

$\Rightarrow m + 7 = 2b, b \in \mathbb{Z}$

$\Rightarrow 2b - 7 = 2(b-4) + 1$

$\Rightarrow 2b - 7$  is odd

$\Rightarrow m$  is odd

$\Rightarrow \neg p$

# Tautology

- A compound proposition (a formula) that is always **true** no matter what the truth values of the propositions in the formula is called a **tautology**.
  - $p \vee \neg p$  is a simple tautology.
  - $p \implies q$  and  $\neg q \implies \neg p$  are logically equivalent  
i.e.  $(p \implies q) \iff (\neg q \implies \neg p)$  is a tautology.  
(Truth table will be shown in the class)

# Tautology

- A compound proposition (a formula) that is always **true** no matter what the truth values of the propositions in the formula is called a **tautology**.
- Informally, two compound propositions P and Q are **logically equivalent** if whenever P is true, Q is true, and whenever Q is true then P is true.
  - In other words  $P \iff Q$  is a tautology
  - It is denoted as  $P = Q$  (in the text)
  - Also denoted as  $P \equiv Q$  (Rosen);  $P \iff Q$  (Grimaldi)

# Contradiction

- A compound proposition (a formula) that is always **false** no matter what the truth values of the propositions in the formula is called a **contradiction**.
  - $p \wedge \neg p$  is a simple contradiction.

# The following table contains some important equivalences

## Laws of Logic

Law of Double Negation	$\neg\neg p \Leftrightarrow p$	
Contrapositive Law	$p \Rightarrow q \Leftrightarrow (\neg q) \Rightarrow (\neg p)$	
DeMorgan's Law	$\neg(p \wedge q) \Leftrightarrow \neg p \vee \neg q$	$\neg(p \vee q) \Leftrightarrow \neg p \wedge \neg q$
Commutative Law	$p \wedge q \Leftrightarrow q \wedge p$	$p \vee q \Leftrightarrow q \vee p$
Distributive Law	$p \wedge (q \vee r) \Leftrightarrow (p \wedge q) \vee (p \wedge r)$	$p \vee (q \wedge r) \Leftrightarrow (p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee r)$

## The following table contains some important equivalences (contd.)

Associative Law	$p \wedge (q \wedge r) \Leftrightarrow (p \wedge q) \wedge r$	$p \vee (q \vee r) \Leftrightarrow (p \vee q) \vee r$
Idempotent Law	$p \wedge p \Leftrightarrow p$	$p \vee p \Leftrightarrow p$
Identity Law	$p \wedge T \Leftrightarrow p$	$p \vee F \Leftrightarrow p$
Inverse law	$p \wedge \neg p \Leftrightarrow F$	$p \vee \neg p \Leftrightarrow T$
Domination Law	$p \wedge F \Leftrightarrow F$	$p \vee T \Leftrightarrow T$
Absorption Law	$p \wedge (p \vee q) \Leftrightarrow p$	$p \vee (p \wedge q) \Leftrightarrow p$



# Some equivalences involving conditional statements

Some of the important equivalences involving conditional propositions are listed below.

- $p \Rightarrow q = \neg p \vee q$
- $p \Rightarrow q = \neg q \Rightarrow \neg p$
- $(p \Rightarrow q) \wedge (p \Rightarrow r) = (p \Rightarrow (q \wedge r))$
- $(p \Rightarrow r) \wedge (q \Rightarrow r) = ((p \vee q) \Rightarrow r)$
- $(p \Rightarrow q) \vee (p \Rightarrow r) = (p \Rightarrow (q \vee r))$
- $(p \Rightarrow r) \vee (q \Rightarrow r) = ((p \wedge q) \Rightarrow r)$
- $(p \Leftrightarrow q) = (p \Rightarrow q) \wedge (q \Rightarrow p)$
- $(p \Leftrightarrow q) = (\neg p \Leftrightarrow \neg q)$

# Example

- if  $((x > 0) \text{ and } (y > 0))$  then `writeln(...)` is equivalent to if  $(x > 0)$  then if  $(y > 0)$  then `writeln (---)`
- Propositions ( $x$  and  $y$  are constants)
  - $p : x > 0$
  - $q : y > 0$
  - $r : \text{line gets written}$
- Claim is  $(p \wedge q \Rightarrow r) = (p \Rightarrow (q \Rightarrow r))$

# Example

- if  $((x > 0) \text{ and } (y > 0))$  then  $\text{writeln}(\dots)$  is equivalent to if  $(x > 0)$  then if  $(y > 0)$  then  $\text{writeln}(\dots)$
- Propositions ( $x$  and  $y$  are constants)
  - $p : x > 0$
  - $q : y > 0$
  - $r : \text{line gets written}$
- Claim is  $(p \wedge q \Rightarrow r) = (p \Rightarrow (q \Rightarrow r))$

1.	$(p \wedge q) \Rightarrow r$	<b>(l.h.s)</b>	
2.	$\equiv \neg(p \wedge q) \vee r$		Implication Law
3.	$\equiv (\neg p \vee \neg q) \vee r$		DeMorgan's Law
4.	$\equiv \neg p \vee (\neg q \vee r)$		Associative Law
5.	$\equiv \neg p \vee (q \Rightarrow r)$		Implication Law
6.	$\equiv p \Rightarrow (q \Rightarrow r)$	<b>(r.h.s)</b>	Implication Law

## A general approach to proving equivalence using the laws of logic

- Remove double negation
- Remove implication by disjunction ( $\vee$ )
- Push negation inside the parentheses using DeMorgan's law
- Use distribution law

## Other Examples

- Find a proposition with truth value

p	q	?
T	T	F
T	F	T
F	T	T
F	F	F

## Other Examples

- Determine a logically equivalent proposition to  $p \oplus q$ .

$p$	$q$	$p \oplus q$
T	T	F
T	F	T
F	T	T
F	F	F

- Logically equivalent:  $(p \wedge \neg q) \vee (\neg p \wedge q)$
- Replacing each row with value T with an equivalent proposition. These rows are then combined with  $\vee$ .

## Using the truth table, one can show that ...

- Any compound proposition (a formula) can be transformed to a logically equivalent formula involving only  $\neg$ ,  $\wedge$ ,  $\vee$  operators.

## Other Examples

- Write a proposition equivalent to  $\neg p \wedge \neg q$  using only  $\neg$  and  $\vee$ .
- Determine whether  $p \Rightarrow (\neg q \wedge r)$ ,  $\neg p \vee \neg(r \Rightarrow q)$  are logically equivalent
- Prove that  $(q \wedge (p \Rightarrow \neg q)) \Rightarrow \neg p$  is a tautology using propositional equivalence and laws of logic.
- Write the negation of
  - If it is sunny, we go skiing.
  - I will go the movie or read a book, but not both.



## Practice problems from the text:

- Section 2.1
  - 2, 3, 10, 11
- Section 2.2
  - 1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 12
- Section 2.3
  - 1, 3, 9, 10, 11
- Section 2.4
  - 3, 4, 5
- Section 2.5
  - 2, 6, 7, 10, 11
- Section 2.6
  - 1, 2, 7, 9, 10, 12, 13