## MACM-300: Intro to Formal Languages and Automata

Anoop Sarkar - anoop@cs.sfu.ca

Substitutions and homomorphisms.

Let L be a regular language over an alphabet  $\Sigma$ . Consider a new regular language  $R_a$  (unrelated to L) for each symbol  $a \in \Sigma$ . Let  $a_1 a_2 \ldots a_n$  be a string in L where  $a_i \in \Sigma$ . Replace each  $a_i$  with some arbitrary string  $w_i$  in  $R_{a_i}$  giving us a new string  $w_1 w_2 \ldots w_n$ . A substitution is the mapping produced by replacing each symbol  $a_i$  for each string in L with all possible strings from  $R_{a_i}$ . We shall show that each such string  $w_1 w_2 \ldots w_n$  is generated by a regular language.

Formally, a substitution f is a mapping of alphabet  $\Sigma$  onto subsets of  $\Delta^*$  for some alphabet  $\Delta$ . Thus f associates a language with each symbol in  $\Sigma$ . The mapping f is extended to strings as follows:  $f(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon$  and f(xa) = f(x)f(a). And for a language L, we have

$$f(L) = \bigcup_{x \in L} f(x)$$

*Example.* Let  $L = 0^*(0 \cup 1)1^*$  and let f(0) = a and  $f(1) = b^*$ . Then a substitution for language L is  $f(L) = a^*(a \cup b^*)(b^*)^* = a^*b^*$ .

Theorem. The class of regular languages is closed under substitution.

Proof. Let  $R \subseteq \Sigma^*$  be a regular language over alphabet  $\Sigma$  and for each  $a \in \Sigma$  let  $R_a \subseteq \Delta^*$  be a regular language. Let  $f : \Sigma \to \Delta^*$  be the substitution defined by  $f(a) = R_a$ . Pick a regular expression that is equivalent to R and regular expressions for each  $R_a$ . Replace each occurrence of symbol a in the regular expression for R by the regular expression for  $R_a$ . The new regular expression derived using this method is equivalent to the language f(R). This can be proved using induction on the regular expression operators:

- 1. Base case: for regular expression with a single symbol  $a, f(a) = \varepsilon$  or f(a) = b where  $b \in \Delta$ . In both cases the regular expression provided by the replacement operation above is equivalent to the language f(R).
- 2. Recursive case: if  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  are regular expressions such that the replacement operation provided above provide new regular expressions equivalent to  $f(R_1)$  and  $f(R_2)$  then,

• 
$$f(R_1 \cup R_2) = f(R_1) \cup f(R_2)$$

- $f(R_1R_2) = f(R_1)f(R_2)$
- $f(R_1*) = f(R_1)*$

A type of substitution that is often used is called a *homomorphism*. A homomorphism h is a substitution such that h(a) contains a single string for each symbol a from  $\Sigma$ .

*Example.* Let h(0) = aa and h(1) = aba. Then if 010 is a string in some regular language, then h(010) = aabaaa. For a regular language L equivalent to regular expression  $(01)^*$  then h(L) is language equivalent to  $(aaaba)^*$ .

An *inverse homomorphism* of a language L is defined as:

$$h^{-1}(L) = \{x \mid h(x) \in L\}$$

*Example.* Let h(0) = aa and h(1) = aba. Let language  $L = (ab \cup ba)^*a$ . Then  $h^{-1}(L)$  consists of only the string 1.

Note that homomorphisms is just a special case of substitution and so regular languages are closed under homomorphisms as well.

Theorem. The class of context-free languages is closed under substitution.

Proof. Let L be a CFL,  $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$  and for each  $a \in \Sigma$  let  $L_a$  be a CFL. Let L be L(G) and for each  $a \in \Sigma$  let  $L_a$  be  $L(G_a)$ . Without loss of generality assume that the variables of G and all the  $G_a$ 's are disjoint. Construct a new grammar G' as follows. The variables of G' is all the variables from G and all  $G_a$ 's. The start variable of G' is the start symbol of G. The rules of G' are all the productions of the  $G_a$ 's together with all the rules formed by taking a rule  $A \to \alpha$  of G and substituting  $S_a$  the start symbol of  $G_a$  for each instance of an  $a \in \Sigma$  appearing in  $\alpha$ .

*Example.* Let L be the language with equal number of a's and b's. Let G be the grammar for L:

$$S \to aSbS \mid bSaS \mid \varepsilon$$

Let  $L_a = \{0^n 1^n \mid n \ge 1\}$  and let  $L_b = \{ww^R \mid w \text{ is in } (0 \cup 2)^*\}$ . Let  $G_a$  be:

$$S_a \rightarrow 0S_a 1 \mid 01$$

And let  $G_b$  be:

$$S_b \to 0S_b0 \mid 2S_b2 \mid \varepsilon$$

If f is the substitution  $f(a) = L_a$  and  $f(b) = L_b$  then f(L) is generated by the grammar:

$$S \rightarrow S_a SS_b S \mid S_b SS_a S \mid \varepsilon$$
  

$$S_a \rightarrow 0S_a 1 \mid 01$$
  

$$S_b \rightarrow 0S_b 0 \mid 2S_b 2 \mid \varepsilon$$

This proof also shows that CFLs are closed under homomorphisms.

Note that the languages  $\{a, b\}$ ,  $\{ab\}$  and  $a^*$  are CFLs, and so we can substitute any two CFLs  $L_a$  and  $L_b$  into  $\{a, b\}$  and this shows that CFLs are *closed under union*, similarly we can substitute CFLs  $L_a$  and  $L_a$  into  $\{ab\}$  to show CFLs are *closed under concatenation*, and substituting any CFL  $L_a$  into the CFL for  $a^*$  shows CFLs are *closed under* \*.