Primitive DB Operations of Transactions

- INPUT(X) = copy the disk block containing database element X to a memory buffer.
- READ(X,t) = if the block containing database element X is not in a memory buffer then INPUT(X). Next, assign the value of X to local variable t.
- WRITE(X,t) = if the block containing database element X is not in a memory buffer then INPUT(X). Next, copy the value of t to X in the buffer.
- OUTPUT(X) = copy the buffer containing X to disk.

- A, B are database values; constraint A = B must hold.
- Transaction T =

• Execution of T involves reading A, B from disk, performing arithmetic in memory, and writing new A, B to disk.

Action	t	$\mathrm{Mem}\ A$	$\mathrm{Mem}\ B$	Disk A	Disk B
READ(A,t)	8	8		8	8
t := t*2	16	8		8	8
WRITE(A,t)	16	16		8	8
READ(B,t)	8	16	8	8	8
t := t*2	16	16	8	8	8
WRITE(B,t)	16	16	16	8	8
OUTPUT(A)	16	16	16	16	8
OUTPUT(B)	16	16	16	16	16

• Problem: what happens if there is a system failure just before OUTPUT(B)?

Undo Logging

Create a log of all important actions (due to Hansel and Gretel, 782 AD; improved to durable undo logging in 784).

- $\langle START T \rangle = transaction T started.$
- $\langle T, X, v \rangle$ = database element X was modified; it used to have value v.
- $\langle COMMIT T \rangle = transaction T has completed,$ and all its changes have been output to the database.

Intention

If there is a crash before transaction finishes, the log will tell us how to restore old values for any DB elements changed on disk.

Difficulties

- If the log isn't on disk, it too can be lost.
- If we have to write every log entry to disk, we do a *lot* of disk I/O.

Undo (Write-Ahead) Logging

- Create a log record for every action.
- Log records for DB element X must be on disk (or other nonvolatile storage) before any database modification to X appears on disk.
- Before commit record appears on disk, all database modifications of the transaction must appear on disk.
 - ightharpoonup Flush log = write any log entries to disk if they are not already there.

Action	t	M-A	M-B	D-A	D-B	Log
READ(A,t)	8	8		8	8	${ imes}{ imes}TART T{ imes}$
t := t*2	16	8		8	8	
WRITE(A,t)	16	16		8	8	< T, A, 8 >
READ(B,t)	8	16	8	8	8	
t := t*2	16	16	8	8	8	
WRITE(B,t)	16	16	16	8	8	< T, B, 8 >
FLUSH LOG						
OUTPUT(A)	16	16	16	16	8	
OUTPUT(B)	16	16	16	16	16	<commit <math="">T></commit>
FLUSH LOG						

Abort Actions

Sometimes a transaction cannot complete, e.g.:

- 1. It detects an error condition such as faulty data.
- 2. It gets involved in a deadlock, competing for resources or data with other transactions.

If so, the transaction *aborts*; it does not write any of its DB modifications to disk, and it issues an $\langle ABORT \ T \rangle$ record to the log.

Recovery With Undo Logging

Suppose there is a system crash, say just before OUTPUT(B). Do the following:

- 1. Examine the log to identify all transactions T such that $\langle START \ T \rangle$ appears in the log, but neither $\langle COMMIT \ T \rangle$ nor $\langle ABORT \ T \rangle$ does.
 - **♦** Call such transactions *incomplete*.
- 2. Examine each log entry $\langle T, X, v \rangle$ from most recent to earliest.
 - a) If T is not an incomplete transaction, do nothing.
 - b) If T is incomplete, do WRITE(X,v); OUTPUT(X).
- 3. For each incomplete transaction T add <ABORT T> to the log, and flush the log.

Checkpointing

Problem: in principle recovery requires looking at entire log. Simple solution: occasional *checkpoint* operation during which we:

- 1. Stop accepting new transactions.
- 2. Wait until all current transactions commit or abort.
- 3. Flush log to disk and all memory buffers to disk.
 - ♦ Should have occurred anyway in common log methods.
- 4. Enter a **CHECKPOINT>** record in the log and flush to disk.

At this point, transactions may resume.

• If recovery is necessary, we know that all transactions prior to a recorded checkpoint have committed and need not be undone.

Nonquiescent Checkpointing

Problem: we may not want to stop transactions from entering system. Solution:

- 1. Write $\langle START \ CKPT(T_1, \ldots, T_k) \rangle$ record to log, where T_i 's are all active transactions.
- 2. Allow active transactions to commit, but do not prohibit new transactions.
- 3. Write END CKPT> record to log.

Recovery With Nonquiescent Checkpoints

- If the crash follows <END CKPT> we can restrict ourselves to transactions that began after the <START CKPT>.
- If the crash occurs between <START CKPT> and <END CKPT>, we need to undo
 - 1. All those transactions T with <START T> after the <START CKPT> but but no <COMMIT T>.
 - 2. All transactions T on the list associated with $\langle START \ CKPT \rangle$ with no $\langle COMMIT \ T \rangle$.

Redo Logging

- Commit before writing data to disk.
- Redo-log entries contain *new* values:
 - ♦ $\langle T, X, v \rangle$ = "transaction T modified X and the new value is v."

Redo Logging Rules

- 1. Generate new-value log entry whenever an element is modified (in buffer).
- 2. Before modifying DB element X on disk, transaction must be committed, and COMMIT record written to log.
- 3. Before modifying DB element X on disk, flush all log entries involving X (including commit) to disk.

Action	t	M-A	M-B	D-A	$\mathrm{D} ext{-}B$ Log
READ(A,t)	8	8		8	8 <start <math="">T></start>
t := t*2	16	8		8	8
WRITE(A,t)	16	16		8	8 < T, A, 16 >
READ(B,t)	8	16	8	8	8
t := t*2	16	16	8	8	8
WRITE(B,t)	16	16	16	8	8 < <i>T</i> , <i>B</i> , 16>
					<commit <math="">T></commit>
FLUSH LOG					
OUTPUT(A)	16	16	16	16	8
OUTPUT(B)	16	16	16	16	16
FLUSH LOG					

Recovery for Redo Logging

- 1. Find set of committed transactions from the log.
 - ♦ Look back to previous checkpoint only.
- 2. Examine log forward, from earliest to latest. For each $\langle T, X, v \rangle$ in log:

```
WRITE(X,v);
OUTPUT(X);
```

• Notice that no uncommitted transaction can have any effect on the DB.

Problem

If we use nonquiescent checkpointing with redo logging, how do we simplify recovery.

• Hint: If a transaction doesn't make the "active" list at START CKPT, then it not only has committed, but all its changes have been written to the DB's disk.

Undo/Redo Logging

Problem: both previous methods have some downside:

- Redo requires keeping all modified blocks buffered until after commit.
- Undo can lose effects of transaction that appear (to the user) to have completed.

Undo/Redo Log

Log entries $\langle T, X, v, w \rangle$, means transaction T updated DB element X from old value v to new value w.

Undo/Redo Rules

- 1. Generate a new/old record on the log whenever a DB element is modified (in buffer).
- 2. Flush the log before updating X on disk.
- 3. Flush log after writing a <COMMIT T> record.
- But there is no constraint about whether DB elements are flushed to disk before or after commit point.

One possibility:

Action	t	M-A	M-B	D-A	$\mathrm{D} ext{-}B$ Log
READ(A,t)	8	8		8	8 < START T >
t := t*2	16	8		8	8
WRITE(A,t)	16	16		8	8 < T, A, 8, 16 >
READ(B,t)	8	16	8	8	8
t := t*2	16	16	8	8	8
WRITE(B,t)	16	16	16	8	8 < <i>T</i> , <i>B</i> , 8, 16>
FLUSH LOG					
OUTPUT(A)	16	16	16	16	8 <commit <math="">T></commit>
OUTPUT(B)	16	16	16	16	16
FLUSH LOG					

Redo/Undo Recovery

- 1. Find set of problematic transactions:
 - ◆ Go back to previous checkpoint; include all that either started after the checkpoint began or are on the "active" list at START CKPT.
- 2. If a transaction has no COMMIT record, undo it.
 - ♦ Must proceed latest to earliest.
- 3. If the transaction has a COMMIT record, redo it.
 - ♦ Must proceed earliest to latest.

Idempotence

An operation is *idempotent* if the result of repeating it several times is the same as doing it once.

- Example: f(x) defined by "execute x := x+1" is not idempotent; f; f does not have the same effect as f.
- Example: g(x) defined by "execute x := 10 is idempotent; g; g has exactly the same effect as g.
 - ♦ Thus, the recovery steps recommended for undo, redo, and undo/redo logging are all idempotent.

Problem

What if the transaction involves an inherently nonidempotent operation, such as spitting out cash from an ATM?

• How would you log withdrawals, and how would you recover in a situation where "spit out cash" can be neither undone nor redone?