O Notation Examples

O Notation Categories

- O(1) constant time
 - The time is independent of n
- O(log n) logarithmic time
 - Usually the log is to the base 2
- *O*(*n*) *linear* time
- O(n*logn)
- O(n²) quadratic time
- O(n^k) polynomial (where k is some constant)
- O(2ⁿ) exponential time

Maximum Value in an Array

// PRE: arr is sorted int maxSorted(int arr[], int n){ return arr[n-1];

}



Maximum Value in an Array

int max(int arr[], int n){
 int maximum = arr[0];
 for (int i=0; i < n; ++i){
 if arr[i] > maximum {
 maximum = arr[i];
 }
 O(n)
 }
 return maximum;



- What is the difference between the two max functions?
 - The first always looks at the last element of the array
 - Arrays support random access so the time it takes to retrieve this value is not dependent on the array size
 - The second contains a for loop
- The for loop in the max function iterates n times
 - The loop control variable starts at o, goes up by 1 for each loop iteration and the loop ends when it reaches n
- If a function contains a for loop is it always O(n)?
 - Not necessarily

Mean By Sampling

float approximateMean(int arr[], int n){
 float sum = 0;
 for (int i=0; i < n; i+=10){
 sum += arr[i];
 }
 return sum / (n / 10.0);
}</pre>

Binary Search

```
bool search(int arr[], int n, int x){
    int low = 0;
    int high = n - 1;
    int mid = 0;
   while (low <= high){</pre>
       mid = (low + high) / 2;
        if(x > arr[mid]){
            low = mid + 1;
        } else if(x < arr[mid]) {</pre>
            high = mid - 1;
        }
        else { // x == arr[mid]
           return true;
                                              O(\log(n))
        }
    } //while
    return false;
                             Average and worst case
}
```

Analyzing Loops

- It is important to analyze how many times a loop iterates
 - By considering how the loop control variable changes through each iteration
- Be careful to ignore constants
 - Consider how the running time would change if the input doubled
 - In an O(n) algorithm the running time will double
 - In a O(log(n)) algorithm it increases by 1

Mean

float mean(int arr[], int n){
 float sum = 0;
 for (int i=0; i < n; ++i){
 sum += arr[i];
 }
 return sum / n;
}</pre>

O(*n*)

Variance

```
int stupidVariance(int arr[], int n)
ł
    float result = 0;
    float sqDiff = 0;
    for (int i=0; i < n; ++i){</pre>
          sqDiff = arr[i] - mean(arr, n);
          sqDiff *= sqDiff;
          result += sqDiff;
                                     O(n^2)
    return result;
```

How could this be improved?

Less Stupid Variance

```
float variance(int arr[], int n)
ł
     float result = 0;
     float avg = mean(arr, n);
     for (int i=0; i < n; ++i){</pre>
           float sqDiff = arr[i] - avg;
           sqDiff *= sqDiff;
                                     T_A = T_{mean} + 5n + 4
           result += sqDiff;
     return result;
                                     O(n)
```

Bubble

```
void bubble(int arr[], int n)
{
      bool swapped = true;
      while(swapped){
              swapped = false;
              for (int i=0; i < n-1; ++i){</pre>
                     if(arr[i] > arr[i+1]){
                             int temp = arr[i];
                             arr[i] = arr[i+1];
                             arr[i+1] = temp;
                             swapped = true;
                           Average and worst case
                                                             O(n^2)
              }
      }
                                            Best case?
}
```

Duplicates



Nested Loops

- The (stupid) variance, bubble and duplicates functions contain nested loops
 - Both the inner loops perform O(n) iterations
 - In variance the inner loop is contained in a function
 - And the outer loops also perform O(*n*) iterations
- The functions are therefore O(n²)
 - Make sure that you check to see how many times both loops iterate

Another Nested Loop

```
int foo(int arr[], int n){
      int result = 0;
      int i = 0;
      while (i < n / 2){</pre>
             result += arr[i];
             i += 1;
             while (i >= n / 2 && i < n){</pre>
                     result += arr[i];
                     i += 1;
             }
                                                O(n)
  return result;
}
```

Alphabetical Order



Best, Average and Worst Case

- Best case and worst case analysis are often relatively straightforward
 - Although they require a solid understanding of the algorithm's behaviour
- Average case analysis can be more difficult
 - It may involve a more complex mathematical analysis of the function's behaviour
 - But can sometimes be achieved by considering whether it is closer to the worst or best case

Recursive Sum

```
int sum(int arr[], int n, int i){
    if (i == n - 1){
        return arr[i];
    }
    else{
        return arr[i] + sum(arr, n, i + 1);
    }
}
```

Assume there is a calling function that calls *sum(arr, size,* o)

Recursive Functions

- The analysis of a recursive function revolves around the number of recursive calls made
 - And the running time of a single recursive call
- In the sum example the amount of a single function call is constant
 - It is not dependent on the size of the array
 - One recursive call is made for each element of the array

Quicksort Analysis

- One way of analyzing a recursive algorithm is to draw a tree of the recursive calls
 - Determine the depth of the tree
 - And the running time of each level of the tree
- In Quicksort the partition algorithm is responsible for partitioning sub-arrays
 - That at any level of the recursion tree make up the entire array when aggregated
 - Therefore each level of the tree entails O(n) work

Quicksort Best Case



At each level the partition process performs roughly *n* operations, how many levels are there?

At each level the sub-array size is half the size of the previous level

O(log(n)) levels

Multiply the work at each level by number of levels

O(n * log(n))

Quicksort Worst Case



At each level the partition process performs roughly *n* operations, how many levels are there?

At each level the sub-array size is one less than the size of the previous level

O(n) levels

Multiply the work at each level by levels

O(n²)

Recursive Fibonacci Analysis

- The running time of the recursive Fibonacci function we looked at was painfully slow
 - But just how bad was it?
 - Let's consider a couple of possible running times
 - O(n²)
 - O(2ⁿ)
- We will use another tool to reason about the running time
 - Induction

Analysis of fib(5)



Fibonacci Analysis - 1

Let's assume that it is O(n²)

- Although this isn't supported by the recursion tree
- Base case $-T(n \le 1) = O(1)$
 - True, since only 2 operations are performed
- Inductive hypothesis: $T(n-1) = (n-1)^2$
- Inductive proof prove that T(n) = n² given hypothesis
 - we claim that: $n^2 \ge (n-1)^2 + (n-2)^2$
 - $n^2 \ge (n^2 2n + 2) + (n^2 4n + 4)$
 - $n^2 \ge 2n^2 6n + 6$
 - But $2n^2 6n + 6 > n^2$, the inductive hypothesis is **not** proven

Fibonacci Analysis - 2

- Let's assume that it is O(2ⁿ)
- Base case $-T(n \le 1) = O(1)$
 - True, since only 2 operations are performed
- Inductive hypothesis: T(n-1) = 2ⁿ⁻¹
- Inductive proof prove that T(n) = 2ⁿ
 - $2^n \ge 2^{n-1} + 2^{n-2}$
 - Since $2^n = 2^{n-1} + 2^{n-1}$, 2^n is greater than $2^{n-1} + 2^{n-2}$
 - The inductive hypothesis is proven