## **Towers of Hanoi**



- Move n (4) disks from pole A to pole C
- such that a disk is never put on a smaller disk



## **Towers of Hanoi**



- Move n (4) disks from pole A to pole C
- such that a disk is never put on a smaller disk



## • Move n (4) disks from A to C





- Move n (4) disks from A to C
  - Move n-1 (3) disks from A to B





- Move n (4) disks from A to C
  - Move n-1 (3) disks from A to B
  - Move 1 disk from A to C





- Move n (4) disks from A to C
  - Move n-1 (3) disks from A to B
  - Move 1 disk from A to C
  - Move n-1 (3) disks from B to C





### Figure 2.19a and b

a) The initial state; b) move n - 1 disks from A to C





## Figure 2.19c and d

c) move one disk from A to B; d) move n - 1 disks from C to B





## Hanoi towers





#### Figure 2.21a Box trace of *solveTowers(3, `A', `B', `C')*

The initial call 1 is made, and **solveTowers** begins execution:

count = 3 source = A dest = B spare = C

At point X, recursive call 2 is made, and the new invocation of the method begins execution:



At point X, recursive call 3 is made, and the new invocation of the method begins execution:



This is the base case, so a disk is moved, the return is made, and the method continues execution.











#### Figure 2.21b Box trace of *solveTowers(3, `A', `B', `C')*

At point Y, recursive call 4 is made, and the new invocation of the method begins execution:



This is the base case, so a disk is moved, the return is made, and the method continues execution.



At point Z, recursive call 5 is made, and the new invocation of the method begins execution:



This is the base case, so a disk is moved, the return is made, and the method continues execution.











#### Figure 2.21c Box trace of solveTowers(3, 'A', 'B', 'C')

This invocation completes, the return is made, and the method continues execution.

count	=	3	
source	=	А	
dest	=	В	
spare	=	С	

count	= 2	count
source	= A	source
dest	= C	dest
spare	= B	spare
		L

1 =

= B

= C I

At point Y, recursive call 6 is made, and the new invocation of the method begins execution:



dest

This is the base case, so a disk is moved, the return is made, and the method continues execution.

		_		
count	= 3	3	count =	
source	= A	Ł	source = 2	Aİ
dest	= E	3	dest = 1	вІ
spare	= C	2	spare = 0	2
			· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_

At point Z, recursive call 7 is made, and the new invocation of the method begins execution:











#### Figure 2.21d Box trace of *solveTowers(3, `A', `B', `C'*)

At point X, recursive call 8 is made, and the new invocation of the method begins execution:



This is the base case, so a disk is moved, the return is made, and the method continues execution.





At point Y, recursive call 9 is made, and the new invocation of the method begins execution:



This is the base case, so a disk is moved, the return is made, and the method continues execution.







#### Figure 2.21e Box trace of *solveTowers(3, `A', `B', `C')*

At point Z, recursive call 10 is made, and the new invocation of the method begins execution:





This is the base case, so a disk is moved, the return is made, and the method continues execution.





This invocation completes, the return is made, and the method continues execution.

count	=	3
source	=	А
dest	=	В
spare	=	С

the return is made, an spare = 2 source = C dest = B spare = A







# **Cost of Hanoi Towers**

- How many moves is necessary to solve Hanoi Towers problem for N disks?
- moves(1) = 1
- moves(N) = moves(N-1) + moves(1) + moves(N-1)

```
    i.e.
    moves(N) = 2*moves(N-1) + 1
```

 Guess solution and show it's correct with Mathematical Induction!



# **Recursive Searching**

- Linear Search
- Binary Search
- Find an element in an array, return its position (index) if found, or -1 if not found.



# Linear Search Algorithm (Java)

## **Linear Search**



- Iterate through an array of *n* items searching for the target item
- The crucial instruction is equality checking (or "comparisons" for short)
  - x.equals(arr[i]); //for objects or
  - x == arr[i]; //for a primitive type
- Linear search performs at most *n* comparisons
- We can write linear search recursively

# **Recursive Linear Search Algorithm**

- Base case
  - Found the target or
  - Reached the end of the array
- Recursive case
  - Call linear search on array from the next item to the end

```
public int recLinSearch(int[] arr,int low,int x) {
    if (low >= arr.length) { // reach the end
        return -1;
    } else if (x == arr[low]){
        return low;
    } else
        return recLinSearch(arr, low + 1, x);
    }
}
```



# **Binary Search Sketch**

- Linear search runs in O(n) (linear) time (it requires n comparisons in the worst case)
- If the array to be searched is sorted (from lowest to highest), we can do better:
- Check the midpoint of the array to see if it is the item we are searching for
  - Presumably there is only a 1/n chance that it is! (assuming that the target is in the array)
- It the value of the item at the midpoint is less than the target then the target must be in the upper half of the array
  - So perform binary search on that half
  - and so on ....



# **Thinking About Binary Search**

- Each sub-problem searches an array slice (or subarray)
  - So differs only in the upper and lower array indices that define the array slice
- Each sub-problem is smaller than the previous problem
  - In the case of binary search, half the size
- The final problem is so small that it is trivial
  - Binary search terminates after the problem space consists of one item or
  - When the target item is found
- Be careful when writing the terminating condition
  - When exactly do we want to stop?
    - When the search space consists of one element but
    - Only after that one element has been tested



# **Recursive Binary Search Algorithm**



```
public int binSearch(
      int[] arr, int lower, int upper, int x)
{
   int mid = (lower + upper) / 2;
   if (lower > upper) {// empty interval
      return - 1; // base case
   } else if(arr[mid] == x){
      return mid; // second base case
   } else if(arr[mid] < x){</pre>
       return binSearch(arr, mid + 1, upper, x);
   } else { // arr[mid] > target
       return binSearch(arr, lower, mid - 1, x);
```

ſ

# **Analyzing Binary Search**

- Best case: 1 comparison
- Worst case: target is not in the array, or is the last item to be compared
  - Each recursive call halves the input size
  - Assume that  $n = 2^k$  (e.g. if n = 128, k = 7)
  - After the **first** iteration there are **n/2** candidates
  - After the **second** iteration there are **n/4** (or **n/2**<sup>2</sup>) candidates
  - After the **third** iteration there are *n***/8** (or *n***/2<sup>3</sup>) candidates**
  - After the *k*-th iteration there is one candidate because  $n/2^{k} = 1$ 
    - Because  $n = 2^k$ ,  $k = \log_2 n$
    - Thus, at most **k=log<sub>2</sub>***n* recursive calls are made in the worst case!

## **Binary Search vs Linear Search**



	<u>Linear</u>	<u>Binary</u>
<u>N</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>log<sub>2</sub>(N)</u>
10	10	4
100	100	7
1,000	1000	10
10,000	10,000	14
100,000	100,000	17
1,000,000	1,000,000	20
10,000,000	10,000,000	24

## **Iterative Binary Search**



- Use a while loop instead of recursive calls
  - The initial values of lower and upper do not need to be passed to the method but
  - Can be initialized before entering the loop with lower set to 0 and upper to the length of the array-1
  - Change the lower and upper indices in each iteration
- Use the (negation of the) base case condition as the condition for the loop in the iterative version.
  - Return a negative result if the while loop terminates without finding the target

# **Binary Search Algorithm** (Java)



public int binSearch(int[] arr, int target){ Index of the first and last int lower = 0; elements in the array int upper = arr.length - 1; while (lower <= upper){</pre> int mid = (lower + upper) / 2; if (target == arr[mid]) { return mid; } else if (target > arr[mid]) { lower = mid + 1; } else { //target < arr[mid]</pre> upper = mid - 1; } //while return -1; //target not found }

# **Recursion Disadvantage 1**

- Recursive algorithms have more overhead than similar iterative algorithms
  - Because of the repeated method calls (storing and removing data from call stack)
  - This may also cause a "stack overflow" when the call stack gets full
- It is often useful to derive a solution using recursion and implement it iteratively
  - Sometimes this can be quite challenging! (Especially, when computation continues after the recursive call -> we often need to remember value of some local variable -> stacks can be often used to store that information.)



# **Recursion Disadvantage 2**

- Some recursive algorithms are inherently inefficient
- An example of this is the recursive Fibonacci algorithm which repeats the same calculation again and again
  - Look at the number of times fib(2) is called
- Even if the solution was determined using recursion such algorithms should be implemented iteratively
- To make recursive algorithm efficient:
  - Generic method (used in AI): store all results in some data structure, and before making the recursive call, check whether the problem has been solved.
  - Make iterative version.



